Short communication

A mechanistic evaluation of photosynthetic acclimation at elevated CO₂

ALISTAIR ROGERS and STEVEN W. HUMPHRIES

Department of Environmental Sciences, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973–5000, USA

Abstract

Plants grown at elevated pCO_2 often fail to sustain the initial stimulation of net CO_2 uptake rate (A). This reduced, acclimated, stimulation of A often occurs concomitantly with a reduction in the maximum carboxylation velocity ($V_{c,max}$) of Rubisco. To investigate this relationship we used the Farquhar model of C₃ photosynthesis to predict the minimum $V_{c,max}$ capable of supporting the acclimated stimulation in A observed at elevated pCO_2 . For a wide range of species grown at elevated pCO_2 under contrasting conditions we found a strong correlation between observed and predicted values of $V_{c,max}$. This exercise mechanistically and quantitatively demonstrated that the observed acclimated stimulation of A and the simultaneous decrease in $V_{c,max}$ observed at elevated pCO_2 is mechanistically consistent. With the exception of plants grown at a high elevated pCO_2 (>90 Pa), which show evidence of an excess investment in Rubisco, the failure to maintain the initial stimulation of A is almost entirely attributable to the decrease in $V_{c,max}$ and investment in Rubisco is coupled to requirements.

Abbreviations: A, net CO₂ uptake (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); A_{tm}, theoretical maximum A for given conditions of I, T_{leafr} elevated pCO₂ and the $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max} observed at current pCO₂ (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); c_a ambient CO₂ concentration (μ mol mol⁻¹); c_i , CO₂ concentration in the substomatal cavity (μ mol mol⁻¹); I, photosynthetic quantum flux density (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); J_{max} , maximum in vivo rate of electron transport (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); pCO₂, partial pressure of CO₂; *m*, gradient of a correlation; T_{leafr} leaf temperature (°C); $V_{c,max}$, maximum in vivo rate of ribulose 1,5 bisphosphate-saturated carboxylation (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); WIMOVAC, Windows Intuitive Model of Vegetation response to Atmosphere and Climate Change.

Keywords: acclimation, C3 photosynthesis, elevated carbon dioxide, modelling, Rubisco

Received 30 October 1999; revised version received 13 April and accepted 5 May 2000

Introduction

Growth at elevated pCO_2 leads to the stimulation of net CO_2 uptake (*A*). As plants acclimate to growth at elevated pCO_2 they often fail to sustain the initial, and for given conditions, maximal stimulation of *A* (Gunderson & Wullschleger 1994; Curtis 1996; Drake *et al.* 1997). Acclimation of the photosynthetic apparatus to growth at elevated pCO_2 can involve several responses (Sage 1994; Sage *et al.* 1989; Ziska *et al.* 1991; van Oosten

Correspondence: Alistair Rogers, tel +1/631 344 2948, fax +1/631 344 7303, e-mail arogers@bnl.gov

et al. 1994; Nie *et al.* 1995; van Oosten & Besford 1995; Osborne *et al.* 1997; Rogers *et al.* 1998). However, a decrease in the amount of active Rubisco is the most consistently observed trait of photosynthetic acclimation (Long & Drake 1992). Commonly, this decrease in the maximum carboxylation velocity ($V_{c,max}$) of Rubisco occurs concomitantly with an elevated *p*CO₂ acclimated, stimulation in *A* (Drake *et al.* 1997). This study mechanistically evaluates the importance of an observed decrease in $V_{c,max}$ in causing the failure of plants acclimated to growth at elevated *p*CO₂ to attain their maximum theoretical A (A_{tm}) at their given elevated pCO_2 . An increase in A at elevated pCO_2 is possible even if there is a reduction in $V_{c,max}$, provided that the increase in A due to elevated substrate concentration (c_i) and increased competitive inhibition of oxygenation is greater than the effect of the reduction in $V_{c,max}$ (Long & Drake 1992). However, there has been no quantitative study that examined the wide range of experimental evidence to ensure that this assumption holds true for plants that have acclimated to growth at elevated pCO_2 .

We obtained 48 sets of leaf gas-exchange parameters from 24 studies encompassing a range of species and growth conditions and used the model of Farquhar *et al.* (1980) to answer three questions.

1 In plants acclimated to elevated pCO_2 , is the observed reduction in $V_{c,max}$ mechanistically consistent with the reported acclimated stimulation of *A*?

2 Does the decrease in $V_{c,max}$ observed in these plants account for the less than theoretically maximal stimulation of *A* at elevated pCO_2 ?

3 Is there an over investment in Rubisco in plants acclimated to growth at elevated pCO_2 ?

Materials and methods

Data acquisition

A computerized literature search was rejected, based on the experience of Wullschleger (1993), in favour of manually searching journals for studies that provided the parameters necessary for our calculations. Several relevant reviews were used as a starting point (Long & Drake 1992; Gunderson & Wullschleger 1994; Sage 1994; Curtis 1996; Drake *et al.* 1997; Saxe *et al.* 1998). Studies were selected solely on the basis of providing enough information to determine values for; *A*, *I*, *T*_{leaf}, *p*CO₂ and $V_{c,max}$ at current and elevated *p*CO₂. Where necessary $V_{c,max}$ and, where possible, *J*_{max} were calculated from the response of *A* to *c_i* following the procedure of Wullschleger (1993) and incorporating the temperature correction of McMurtrie & Wang (1993).

Calculations and assumptions

The WIMOVAC system (Humphries & Long 1995; Long *et al.* 1996) was used to simulate the effects of elevated pCO_2 on photosynthesis. The equations used to model leaf photosynthesis, based on those described by Farquhar *et al.* (1980), are listed in Long & Drake (1992) with the exception of the term for electron transport rate that can be found in Evans & Farquhar (1991). For a wide range of C₃ species stomatal conductance appears to interact with CO₂ uptake to maintain a c_i which is a

constant proportion of the ambient concentration of CO_2 (c_a). In plants grown in the present atmosphere c_i is maintained at 70% of c_a (Wong *et al.* 1979; von Caemmerer & Farquhar 1981; Long & Drake 1992). Drake *et al.* (1997) found that the mean and range of c_i/c_a were nearly identical for plants grown at current and elevated pCO_2 . They concluded that although the stomatal conductance is reduced at elevated pCO_2 , this does not by itself limit photosynthesis. Therefore, in our model c_i was assumed to be 70% of growth pCO_2 . Following McMurtrie & Wang (1993) dark respiration was assumed to be 1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Wullschleger (1993) analysed A/c_i curves from 109 species and observed a strong correlation between $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max} . When there was insufficient information to determine J_{max} , the regression equation; $J_{max} = 29.1 \pm 1.64$ $V_{c,max}$ (Wullschleger 1993) was used to estimate J_{max} . As a test, we correlated the data obtained for the prediction of $V_{c,max}$ using the quoted J_{max} and the estimated J_{max} . There was an extremely strong correlation ($r^2 = 0.998$, $t_{(2)25}$ P < 0.001) and near 1:1 relationship (m = 0.99) between results obtained using the quoted and estimated J_{max} values. The estimated value of J_{max} was used only to facilitate prediction of $V_{c,max}$ when J_{max} could not be determined from available data. This method was not used for generating J_{max} values used in the correlation of predicted and observed J_{max} .

For each data set we entered values for I, T_{leaf} , pCO_2 , $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max} obtained at current pCO_2 and then used WIMOVAC to predict A at current pCO_2 . Without adjusting the photosynthetic parameters entered for current pCO2 we used WIMOVAC to predict the minimum $V_{c,max}$ necessary to support the observed acclimated stimulation in A at the given elevated pCO_2 . This value was termed predicted $V_{c,max}$. This process was repeated to predict the minimum J_{max} necessary to support the observed stimulation in A. We also used WIMOVAC to calculate the A that could be attained at the given elevated pCO_2 if there were no change in any of the photosynthetic parameters observed under current pCO_2 , i.e. no acclimation. This value, termed A_{tm} , represents the maximum theoretical A attainable at a given elevated pCO₂ for current pCO₂ conditions of I, T_{leaf} , $V_{\text{c,max}}$ and J_{max} . The A_{tm} is analogous to A observed when plants developed at current pCO_2 are measured at elevated pCO₂ (initial stimulation).

Statistical analysis

Linear correlation and the Student's *t*-tests associated with Fig. 1 were performed as described by Zar (1999).

Species	CO ₂ fumigation	Cofactor	п	Reference
Acacia mangium	CE		1	Ziska <i>et al.</i> (1991) *
Agrostis capillaris	OTC	N-supply	2	Davey et al. 1999) *
Betula pendula	CE	11 5	1	Pettersson & McDonald (1992)
Brassica oleracea	GH		1	Sage <i>et al.</i> (1989)
Chenopodium album	GH		1	Sage et al. (1989)
Duchesnea indica	OTC		1	Osborne <i>et al.</i> (1997) *
Eucalyptus tetrodonta	CE		1	Eamus <i>et al</i> . (1995)
Glycine max	GH	N-supply, age	4	Sims <i>et al.</i> (1998a) *
	CE	11.7 0	1	Sims et al. (1998b)
Gossypium hirsutum	GH		1	Wong (1979)
Liquidambar styraciflua	GH		1	Fetcher et al. (1988) *
Lolium perenne	OTC	N-supply	2	Davey et al. (1999) *
Nerium oleander	GH		1	Downton <i>et al.</i> (1980)
Nicotiana tabacum	CE		1	Masle <i>et al.</i> (1993)
Phaseolus vulgaris	GH		1	Sage <i>et al.</i> (1989)
	CE		1	Socias <i>et al.</i> (1993)*
	GH		1	von Caemmerer & Farquhar (1984)
Pinus ponderosa	OTC		1	Tissue <i>et al.</i> (1999) *
Pinus radiata	OTC	age	2	Turnbull et al. (1998) *
Pinus taeda	FACE	season	2	Ellsworth et al. (1998) *
	FACE		1	Ellsworth et al. (1995)
	FACE	month	4	Myers et al. (1999) *
Populus euramericana	CE		1	Gaudillére & Mousseau (1989) *
Populus grandidentata	OTC	CO_2 exposure	2	Curtis & Teeri (1992)
Psychotria limonensis	CE	-	1	Ziska et al. (1991)
Quercus myrtifolia	OTC		1	Li et al. (1999) *
Scirpus olneyi	OTC	month	2	Jacob <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Solanum tuberosum	GH		1	Sage <i>et al.</i> (1989)
Tabebuia rosea	CE		1	Ziska et al. (1991) *
Trifolium repens	OTC	N-supply	2	Davey et al. (1999) *
Triticum aestivum	CE	time of day	2	Rogers (1998)
	FACE	leaf position	3	Osborne <i>et al.</i> (1998)

Table 1 List of species, growth conditions and source of datasets used for determination of predicted $V_{c,max}$ (all) and J_{max} (*).

CE, controlled environment; GH, green house; FACE, free-air CO₂ enrichment; OTC, open-top chamber.

Results and discussion

Although there was a mean $\approx 30\%$ acclimated stimulation of *A* with growth at elevated *p*CO₂ ($t_{(2)47}$, *P* < 0.001), as expected (Drake *et al.* 1997), the *A* observed was $\approx 10\%$ ($t_{(2)47}$, *P* < 0.05) lower than the A_{tm} (Fig. 1). This acclimated stimulation of *A* at elevated *p*CO₂ occurred in parallel with a $\approx 20\%$ reduction in $V_{c,max}$ ($t_{(2)47}$, *P* < 0.001), J_{max} was largely unaffected by growth at elevated *p*CO₂ (Fig. 1). For 48 datasets, encompassing 26 species grown at a range of partial pressures of CO₂ and including seasonal, temporal, developmental and nutritional variation (Table 1), WIMOVAC predicted the minimum $V_{c,max}$ and J_{max} that would be required to support the observed *A*.

There are three possible results and subsequent conclusions that can be drawn from a comparison of

observed and predicted values of V_{c,max} and, analogously, J_{max} (Fig. 2). (i) Observed $V_{c,max}$ is less than predicted V_{c,max}. The model was used to predict the minimum $V_{c,max}$ capable of sustaining the observed A. Therefore, if the observed $V_{c,max}$ is less than this predicted minimum possible $V_{c,max}$ then according to the Farquhar model (Farquhar et al. 1980), the observed $V_{\rm c,max}$ would be mechanistically incapable of sustaining the reported stimulation of A. (ii) Predicted $V_{c,max}$ is less than observed $V_{c,max}$ i.e. there is more active Rubisco present than is required to achieve the reported A. This would be indicative of an over investment in Rubisco, a finding recently reported for wheat grown at elevated pCO_2 (Theobald *et al.* 1998). (iii) Predicted and observed values of $V_{c,max}$ are identical. The amount of active Rubisco is matched to the requirement for the observed *A*. However, it would not rule out the possibility that Rubisco activity may be tightly regulated to match an, as yet, unidentified rate-limiting step.





Fig. 1 Observed net CO₂ uptake (*A*), theoretical maximum *A* (A_{tm}), maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco ($V_{c,max}$) and the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) in plants acclimated to elevated pCO_2 as a proportion of that in plants at current pCO_2 . Bars show mean ratio \pm SE (n =48, except J_{max} where n = 26). For the comparison between the *A* and A_{tm} at elevated pCO_2 relative to that at current pCO_2 *($t_{(2)47}$, P < 0.05) and for comparison between the relative level of a parameter at elevated pCO_2 (bar) to the level the same parameter at current pCO_2 (broken line) **($t_{(2)47}$, P < 0.001).

Fig.2 Predicted $V_{c,max}$ correlated against observed $V_{c,max}$ for (a) elevated pCO_2 and (b) current pCO_2 , and predicted J_{max} correlated against observed J_{max} (c) for the range of species and growth conditions shown in Table 1. The minimum $V_{c,max}$ (a and b) or J_{max} (c) required to support the observed A at a given pCO2 was predicted using the WIMOVAC system to stimulate C₃ photosynthesis as described by the Farquhar model (Farquhar et al. 1980). The insert in (a) shows correlation of predicted and observed values of V_{c,max} at elevated pCO₂ for all the data in the study. The axes labels and the axes scale for the insert are the same as for main figure (a). The solid lines show the correlation, the dotted lines show the 95% confidence intervals for the correlation, and the broken lines show the one-to-one line. The symbols denote the elevated pCO2 at which the measurements were made; squares, $\approx 55 \text{ Pa}$; circles \approx 70 Pa and triangles > 90 Pa; m = gradient of the correlation.



© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, Global Change Biology, 6, 1005–1011

predicted and observed values of J_{max} at elevated $p\text{CO}_2$ ($r^2 = 0.10$; $t_{(2),25}$, P > 0.05; Fig. 2c). As expected, Fig. 2c shows that in isolation a decrease in J_{max} could not account for the reduced stimulation of A in plants acclimated to elevated $p\text{CO}_2$, the nonsignificant correlation prevents any further meaningful discussion of this Figure.

The gradient of the correlation in Fig. 2a (insert; m = 0.89) indicates that there is a $\approx 10\%$ over-investment in Rubisco at elevated pCO_2 . The degree of acclimation of A is also $\approx 10\%$ ($A_{tm} - A$, Fig. 1). In isolation these data would suggest that the decrease in A at elevated pCO_2 does not result from a decrease in $V_{c,max}$. Figure 2b shows a significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.77$, $t_{(2),46}$, P < 0.001) and near 1:1 relationship (m = 0.94), indicating that at current pCO_2 the amount of active Rubisco is coupled tightly to requirements (Fig. 2b). Considered in conjunction with the $\approx 20\%$ decrease in $V_{c,max}$ at elevated pCO_2 (Fig. 1), these findings rule out the possibility that the apparent \approx 10% excess of Rubisco present after acclimation to elevated pCO_2 (Fig. 2a, insert) may have been sufficient to account for A prior to acclimation.

A closer analysis of the data in Fig. 2a reveals that the gradient of the correlation is influenced strongly by studies where a highly elevated pCO_2 (>90 Pa) was used (denoted by triangles, Fig. 2). Woodrow (1994) demonstrated that without acclimation, plants growing at a pCO₂ of 100 Pa would theoretically have an excess of Rubisco 10% greater than plants growing at 70 Pa. If these high-elevated pCO₂ studies, with a greater theoretical preponderance for excess Rubisco, are omitted from the correlation, the gradient increases and the 1:1 line falls within the 95% confidence interval (Fig. 2a). This suggests that the observed decrease in Rubisco activity (Fig. 1) is largely responsible for the reduced stimulation of A at elevated pCO_2 (Fig. 1). Correlating the highelevated pCO₂ studies in isolation resulted in a significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.97$, $t_{(2),4}$, P < 0.001) with a gradient indicative of a substantial over-investment in Rubisco (m = 0.66; Fig. 2a). Removal of the high-elevated pCO_2 data had a negligible ($\pm < 2\%$) effect on the parameters reported in Fig. 1 and no effect on the reported statistics.

This exercise has demonstrated three features of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated pCO_2 .

1 The acclimated stimulation in *A* observed with growth at elevated pCO_2 is mechanistically and quantitatively consistent with a simultaneous decrease in photosynthetic capacity ($V_{c,max}$).

2 With the exception of the studies at high-elevated pCO_2 (>90 Pa), the observed decrease in $V_{c,max}$ is almost entirely responsible for the less than maximal stimulation of *A* in plants acclimated to growth at elevated pCO_2 .

3 At highly elevated pCO_2 there is evidence of a considerable over investment in Rubisco which suggests that at highly elevated pCO_2 other factors may contribute to the acclimation of *A*. However, for the majority of studies where pCO_2 is increased two-fold or less above current levels there is no evidence for an over-investment in Rubisco.

Conclusions

This study suggests mechanistically and quantitatively, for a wide range of species and growth conditions, that the failure of C₃ plants to sustain the initial stimulation in A with growth at double current pCO_2 is largely attributable to a loss of active Rubisco. However, these results also suggest that at highly elevated pCO_2 (>90 Pa) other factors may begin to limit A. Although considerable advances have been made in recent years, the current mechanism (Drake et al. 1997; Moore et al. 1999) seeking to describe the process that results in photosynthetic acclimation at elevated pCO₂ remains incomplete and is still challenged by emerging studies (Makino et al. 1998; Theobald et al. 1998; Stitt & Krapp 1999). Our work has reinforced the importance of understanding this mechanism and the role it plays in determining ecosystem responses to climate change.

Acknowledgements

We thank D.S. Ellsworth and S.P. Long for their comments during the conception of this study. This research was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02–98CH10886.

References

- Curtis PS (1996) A meta-analysis of leaf gas exchange and nitrogen in trees grown under elevated CO₂ in situ. *Plant, Cell and Environment.*, **19**, 127–137.
- Curtis PS, Teeri JA (1992) Seasonal responses of leaf gas exchange to elevated carbon dioxide in *Populus grandidentata*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **22**, 1320–1325.
- Davey P, Parsons A, Atkinson L, Wadge K, Long SP (1999) Does photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ increase photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency? A study of three native UK grassland species in open-top chambers. *Functional Ecology*, 13, 21–28.
- Downton W, Bjorkman O, Pike C (1980) Consequences of increased atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide for growth and photosynthesis of higher plants. In: *Carbon Dioxide and Climate* (ed. Pearman GI), pp. 143–151. Australian Academy of Science, Canberra.
- Drake BG, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Long SP (1997) More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, **48**, 609–639.

- Eamus D, Duff G, Berryman C (1995) Photosynthetic responses to temperature, light flux density, CO₂ concentration and vapour pressure deficit in *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* grown under CO₂ enrichment. *Environmental Pollution*, **90**, 41–49.
- Ellsworth DS, Oren R, Huang CE, Phillips N, Hendrey GR (1995) Leaf and canopy responses to elevated CO₂ in a pine forest under free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Oecologia*, **104**, 139–146.
- Ellsworth DS, LaRoche J, Hendrey GR (1998) Elevated CO₂ in a Prototype Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment Facility Affects Photosynthetic Nitrogen Relations in a Maturing Pine Forest. Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL 52545. Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY.
- Evans JR, Farquhar GD (1991) Modeling canopy photosynthesis from the biochemistry of the C₃ chloroplast. In: *Modeling Crop Photosynthesis-from Biochemistry to Canopy* (eds Boote KJ, Loomis RS), pp. 1–15. American Society of Agronomy/ Crop Science Society of American, Madison, WI.
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta*, **149**, 78–90.
- Fetcher N, Jaeger CH, Strain BR, Sionit N (1988) Long-term elevation of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the carbon exchange rates of saplings of *Pinus taeda* L. & *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. *Tree Physiology*, **4**, 255–262.
- Gaudillére J-P, Mousseau M (1989) Short term effect of CO₂ enrichment on leaf development and gas exchange of young poplars (*Populus euramericana* cv I 214). *Oecologia Plantarum*, 10, 95–105.
- Gunderson CA, Wullschleger SD (1994) Photosynthetic acclimation in trees to rising atmospheric CO₂: a broader perspective. *Photosynthesis Research*, **39**, 369–388.
- Humphries SW, Long SP (1995) WIMOVAC: a software package for modelling the dynamics of plant leaf and canopy photosynthesis. *Cabios*, **11**, 361–371.
- Jacob J, Greitner C, Drake B (1995) Acclimation of photosynthesis in relation to Rubisco and non-structural carbohydrate contents and *in situ* carboxylase activity in *Scirpus olneyi* grown at elevated CO₂ in the field. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 18, 875–884.
- Li J-H, Dijkstra CR, Hinkle CR, Wheeler RM, Drake BG (1999) Photosynthetic acclimation of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration in the Florida scrub-oak species *Quercus geminata* and *Quercus myrtifolia* growing in their native environment. *Tree Physiology*, **19**, 229–234.
- Long SP, Drake BG (1992) Photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. In: *Crop Photosynthesis Spatial and Temporal Determinants* (eds Baker NR, Thomas H), pp. 69–103. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Long SP, Osborne CP, Humphries SW (1996) Photosynthesis, rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration and climate change. In: *Global Change: Effects on Coniferous Forests and Grasslands* (eds Breymeyer AI *et al.*), pp. 121–159. Wiley, Chichester.
- Makino A, Harada M, Sato T, Nakano H, Mae T (1998) Growth and N allocation in rice plants under CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Physiology*, **115**, 199–203.
- Masle J, Hudson GS, Badger MR (1993) Effects of ambient CO₂ concentration on growth and nitrogen use in tabacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants transformed with an antisense gene to the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. *Plant Physiology*, **103**, 1075–1088.

- McMurtrie RE, Wang Y-P (1993) Mathematical models of the photosynthetic response of tree stands to rising CO₂ concentrations and temperatures. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **16**, 1–13.
- Moore BD, Cheng S-H, Sims D, Seeman JR (1999) The biochemical and molecular basis for photosynthetic acclimation to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 22, 567–582.
- Myers D, Thomas R, Delucia E (1999) Photosynthetic capacity of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) trees during the first year of carbon dioxide enrichment in a forest ecosystem. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **22**, 473–481.
- Nie G-Y, Hendrix DL, Webber AN, Kimball BA, Long SP (1995) Increased accumulation of carbohydrates and decreased photosynthetic gene transcript levels in wheat grown at an elevated CO₂ concentration in the field. *Plant Physiology*, **108**, 975–983.
- Osborne CP, Drake BG, LaRoche J, Long SP (1997) Does longterm elevation of CO₂ concentration increase photosynthesis in forest floor vegetation? *Plant Physiology*, **114**, 337–344.
- Osborne CP, LaRoche J, Garcia RL *et al.* (1998) Does leaf position within a canopy affect acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂. *Plant Physiology*, **117**, 1037–1045.
- Pettersson R, McDonald A (1992) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentration on photosynthesis and growth of small birch plants (*Betula pendula* Roth.) at optimal nutrition. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **15**, 911–919.
- Rogers A (1998) An analysis of photosynthetic acclimation to growth at elevated CO₂ concentration. PhD Thesis, University of Essex, Colchester, UK.
- Rogers A, Fischer BU, Bryant J, Frehner M, Blum H, Raines CA, Long SP (1998) Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ under low-nitrogen nutrition is affected by the capacity for assimilate utilization. Perennial ryegrass under free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Physiology*, **118**, 683–689.
- Sage RF, Sharkey TD, Seemann JR (1989) Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in five C₃ species. *Plant Physiology*, **89**, 590–596.
- Sage RF (1994) Acclimation of photosynthesis to increasing atmospheric CO₂: The gas exchange perspective. *Photosynthesis Research*, **39**, 351–368.
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J (1998) Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. *New Phytologist*, **139**, 395–436.
- Sims DA, Luo Y, Seemann JR (1998a) Comparison of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ and limited nitrogen supply in soybean. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **21**, 945–952.
- Sims D, Cheng W, Luo Y, Seemann J (1998b) Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ in a sunflower canopy. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **50**, 645–653.
- Socias FX, Medrano H, Sharkey TD (1993) Feedback limitation of photosynthesis of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. grown in elevated CO₂. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **16**, 81–86.
- Stitt M, Krapp A (1999) The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **22**, 583–622.
- Tissue DT, Griffin KL, Ball JT (1999) Photosynthetic adjustment in field-grown ponderosa pine trees after six years of exposure to elevated CO₂. *Tree Physiology*, **19**, 221–228.
- Theobald JC, Mitchell AC, Parry MAJ, Lawlor DW (1998) Estimating the excess investment in ribulose-1,5-biphosphate

carboxylase/oxygenase in leaves of spring wheat grown under elevated CO₂. *Plant Physiology*, **118**, 945–955.

- Turnbull M, Tissue D, Griffin K, Rodgers G, Whitehead D (1998) Photosynthetic acclimation to long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. is related to age of needles. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **21**, 1019–1028.
- van Oosten JJ, Wilkins D, Besford RT (1994) Regulation of the expression of photosynthetic nuclear genes by CO₂ is mimicked by regulation by carbohydrates: a mechanism for the acclimation of photosynthesis to high CO₂? *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **17**, 913–923.
- van Oosten J-J, Besford RT (1995) Some relationships between the gas exchange, biochemstry and molecular biology of photosynthesis during leaf development of tomato plants after transfer to different carbon dioxide concentrations. *Plant*, *Cell and Environment*, **18**, 1253–1266.
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar G (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta*, **153**, 376–387.
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar G (1984) Effects of partial defoiliation, changes of irradiance during growth, short-term

water stress and growth at enhanced, p. (CO_2) on the photosynthetic capacity of leaves of *Phaseolus vulgarisis* L. *Planta*, **160**, 320–329.

- Wong SC (1979) Elevated atmospheric partial pressure of CO_2 and plant growth 1. interactions of nitrogen nutrition and photosynthetic capacity in C_3 and C_4 plants. *Oecologia*, **44**, 68–74.
- Woodrow (1994) Optimal acclimation of the C₃ photosynthetic system under enhanced CO₂. *Photosynthesis Research*, **39**, 401–412.
- Wullschleger SD (1993) Biochemical limitations to carbon assimilation in C₃ plants – a retrospective analysis of the A/ C_i curves from 109 species. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **44**, 907–920.
- Zar JH (1999) *Biostatistical Analysis*, 4th edn, pp.161, 380, 408. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Ziska LH, Hogan KP, Smith AP, Drake BG (1991) Growth and photosynthetic response of nine tropical species with longterm exposure to elevated carbon dioxide. *Oecologia*, **86**, 383–389.