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## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1966 CERN decided to design a first superconducting beam transport element, a quadrupole lens, with the aim of gaining operational experience with such magnets in external beams of the Proton Synchrotron and of sponsoring European superconductor technology.

A collaboration between GERN, the Gulham Laboratory and the Oxford Instrument Company (both of the latter being in Great Britain) has since been established, the project being partially financed by the British Ministry of Technology.

This paper gives a theoretical analysis of the quadrupole lens and describes a full-scale, copper-wound pole model made at CERN with the aim of performing magnetic measurements and of establishing the winding procedure and the mechanical construction in view of the four poles to be wound with superconductors.

## II. THE ANALYTTCAL DESIGN OF THE QUADRUPOLE LENS

Several authors have considered the problem of obtaining accurate two-dimensional dipole, quadrupole $-2 n$ pole fields in general - within a certain aperture by computing the required current density distribution around it. Grivet has examined rectangular, uniform current density configurations; Beth ${ }^{2}$. has developed a method of best approximation for an ideal sine-like line-current distribution around a circular aperture, since in any real case the current density and the coil height will be finite. The sine-like distribution is approximated by a number of uniform current density steps, yielding the required magnetic field, field gradient, etc. uniformity within the aperture.

The theory of the quadrupole lens under consideration is based on an independent though similar approach. ${ }^{3-5}$ A finite current density and coil height around a circular aperture had been assumed and the appropriate configuration for uniform current density, yielding the (dipole) quadrupole field, etc., with required precision computed.

We start with the vector potential $A$ at point $P(\rho, \psi)$ of a line current $+I$ placed at $r, \varphi$, according to Fig. 1. Gylindrical coordinates are used.
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The vector potential at $P$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} \lambda \ln \left[r^{2}+\rho^{2}-2 r \rho \cos (\varphi-\psi)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{I_{\mu_{o}}}{2 \pi} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression for the vector potential can be expressed as the following trigonometric power series ${ }^{6}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A}{\lambda} & =-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[r^{2}+\rho^{2}-2 r \rho \cos (\varphi-\psi)\right] \\
& =-\ln r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{k} \cos k(\varphi-\psi)}{k} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a=\rho / r<1$, and as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A}{\lambda}=-\ln \rho+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos k(\varphi-\psi)}{k \cdot a^{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a=\rho / r>1$.
For a symmetric arrangement of four line currents according to Fig. 2, the vector potential at $P(p, \psi)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A}{\lambda}=4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{2 k-1}}{2 k-1} \sin [(2 k-1) \varphi] \sin [(2 k-1) \psi] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a=\rho / r<1$.
If, according to Fig. 3, four symmetric sector coils with uniform current density $j$ are assumed so that $d I=j r d r d \varphi$, and if the sectors cover the angular region between $\alpha$ and ( $\pi / 2$ ) - $\alpha$, etc., and between the radii $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ the vector potential $A$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =2 \lambda(\sin 2 \psi)(\cos 2 \alpha) \rho^{2} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(4 k+2) \psi] \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k+2)^{2}} \rho^{4 k+2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

From the vector potential the magnetic field components $B_{\rho}$ and $B_{\psi}$ can be obtained as follows:
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$$
\begin{align*}
B_{p}= & {[\nabla A]_{\rho}=\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \psi}=4 \lambda(\cos 2 \psi)(\cos 2 \alpha) \rho \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}+} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos [(4 k+2) \psi] \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k+2)} \rho^{4 k+1}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
B_{\psi}= & {[\nabla A]_{\psi}=-\frac{\partial A}{\partial p}=-4 \lambda(\sin 2 \psi)(\cos 2 \alpha) \rho \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}-} \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(4 k+2) \psi] \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k+2)} \rho^{4 k+1}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

For $\psi=0$, Eq. (7) yields the field $B_{\rho}$ in the horizontal p-axis, and for $\psi=\pi / 4$; Eq. (8) yields the field $B_{y}$ or $B_{x}$, respectively. Differentiating Eqs. (7) and (8) under these conditions one obtains for the field gradient:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{p}= & \left(\frac{\partial B_{p}}{\partial p}\right)_{\psi=0}=4 \lambda(\cos 2 \alpha) \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \lambda \frac{(4 k+1)}{k(4 k+2)} \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha] \rho^{4 k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right)  \tag{9}\\
g_{x}= & \left(\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}\right)_{\substack{\psi=45^{\circ} \\
x=p}}^{\infty}=4 \lambda(\cos 2 \alpha) \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}- \\
& -2 \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{k(4 k+2)}(4 k+1) \rho^{4 k}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equations. (9) and (10) are very convenient for the design of a quadrupole lens: the first constant term corresponds to the wanted constant gradient, the sum to the error terms. By putting $\alpha=15^{\circ}$, which in accordance with Fig. 3 corresponds to $30^{\circ}$ sector coils, the first dodecapolar error term vanishes, and the gradient error is mainly detemined by the 20 th harmonic. A simple calculation shows that the same reasoning is valid for sector coils between the angles $\alpha_{2}$ and $(\pi / 4)\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)$, respectively, between $(\pi / 2)-\alpha_{2}$ and $(\pi / 4)+\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)$ (see Fig. 4).

Two ways of eliminating both the 12 th and 20 th harmonic and of obtaining an even more uniform gradient within the aperture are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. By introducing an intermediate sector radius $R_{i}$ - as shown in $F i g .5-$ and by introducing the angles $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ the $g_{\rho}$ gradient (for example) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(g_{\rho}\right)_{\text {Fig. } 6}= & 4 \lambda\left(\cos 2 \alpha_{1} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{i}}+\cos 2 \alpha_{2} \ln \frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \lambda \frac{(4 k+1)}{k(4 k+2)} \rho^{4 k} \times  \tag{11}\\
& \times\left\{\cos \left[(4 k+2) \alpha_{1}\right]\left(\frac{1}{R_{i}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right)+\cos \left[(4 k+2) \alpha_{2}\right]\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{i}^{4 k}}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\alpha_{1}=9^{\circ}$ and $\alpha_{2}=27^{\circ}$ the 20th harmonic is eliminated. The 12 th harmonic is eliminated by choosing $R_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 54^{\circ}\left(\frac{1}{R_{i}^{4}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4}}\right)+\cos 162^{\circ}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4}}-\frac{1}{R_{i}^{4}}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
1.318 \frac{1}{R_{i}^{4}} & =0.88 \frac{1}{R_{1}^{4}}+0.432 \frac{1}{R_{2}^{4}}=M ; \\
R_{i} & =\sqrt{\frac{1.318}{M}} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

If according to Fig. 6 two constant current density sectors with $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ are chosen, and again $\alpha_{1}=9^{\circ}, \alpha_{2}=27^{\circ}$, Eq. (9) changes into:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(g_{p}\right)_{\text {Fig. } 7}= & 4 \lambda_{1} \cos 2 \alpha_{1} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}+4\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \cos 2 \alpha_{2} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}+ \\
& +\sum \frac{(4 \mathrm{k}+1) p^{4 k}}{\mathrm{k}(4 \mathrm{k}+2)}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{4 k}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{4 k}}\right) x \\
& \times\left\{4 \lambda_{1} \cos \left[(4 \mathrm{k}+2) \alpha_{1}\right]+4\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \cos \left[(4 \mathrm{k}+2) \alpha_{2}\right]\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The 20th harmonic is again eliminated with $\alpha_{1}=9^{\circ}$ and $\alpha_{2}=27^{\circ}$; the 12 th harmonic by making:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \lambda_{1} \cos 54^{\circ}+4\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \cos 162^{\circ}=0  \tag{15}\\
& j_{2}=j_{1} \frac{1.318}{0.88}=1.5 j_{1} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

When designing superconducting quadrupoles it is important to know the field inside the winding in order to determine the mechanical forces and stresses as well as the magnetic field outside the winding.

By a similar computation as before one finds for the magnetic field components $B_{\rho}$ and $B_{\psi}$ inside the winding, i.e., for $R_{1}<\rho<R_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\rho}= & 4 \lambda \rho(\cos 2 \psi)(\cos 2 \alpha) \ln \frac{R_{2}}{\rho}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos [(4 k+2) \psi] \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k+2)} \rho\left[1-\left(\frac{\rho}{R_{2}}\right)^{4 k}\right]+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos [(4 k-2) \psi] \cos [(4 k-2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k-2)} \rho\left[1-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{\rho}\right)^{4 k}\right]  \tag{17}\\
B_{\psi}= & -4 \lambda \sin 2 \psi)(\cos 2 \alpha) \rho \ln \frac{R_{2}}{\rho}- \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(4 k+2) \psi] \cos [(4 k+2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k+2)}\left[1-\left(\frac{\rho}{R_{2}}\right)^{4 k}\right]+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(4 k-2) \psi] \cos [(4 k-2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k-2)}\left[1-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{\rho}\right)^{4 k}\right] . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

For the field outside the quadrupole $\left(R_{2}<\rho<\infty\right)$ one finds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\rho}=4 \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos [(4 k-2) \psi] \cos [(4 k-2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k-2)} \frac{1}{\rho^{4 k-1}}\left(R_{2}^{4 k}-R_{1}^{4 k}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& B_{\psi}=4 \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(4 k-2) \psi] \cos [(4 k-2) \alpha]}{2 k(4 k-2)} \frac{1}{\rho^{4 k-1}}\left(R_{2}^{4 k}-R_{1}^{4 k}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar expressions can be derived for dipole fields.3-5 In order to obtain a field uniformity required for high energy physics beam transport and accelerator magnets, the elimination of a larger number of harmonics than in the quadrupole case will be necessary. When doing so, the method demonstrated approaches the analysis of Beth.

The CERN-Culham Laboratory quadrupole will be shielded by a magnetic steel cylinder, concentric with the longitudinal axis of the lens. It is useful to compute the minimum radius $r_{s}$ of this cylinder as well as the effect of the imaged currents on the field and the field gradient within the useful aperture.

Normally one would choose $\rho=r_{s}$ such that the field components outside the quadrupole winding $B_{p}$ and $B_{\psi}\left[\right.$ see Eqs. (19) and (20)] are $B_{p}, B_{\psi} \leq B_{\text {sat }} \approx 2$ T.

The minimum cylinder thickness is found from Eq. (21):

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\min }=\frac{\Phi}{B_{\text {sat }}} & \approx \frac{1}{B_{\text {sat }}} \int_{r_{s}}^{\infty} \lambda \cos 2 \alpha\left(R_{2}^{4}-R_{1}^{4}\right) \frac{d p}{p^{3}} \\
& \approx \frac{1}{B_{s a t}} \frac{\lambda \cos 2 \alpha\left(R_{2}^{4}-R_{1}^{4}\right)}{2 r_{s}^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find the additional magnetic field (B) $s$ or gradient ( $g$ ) s due to the magnetic screen for a single sector, uniform current density quadrupole, the coordinate system shown in Fig. 7 will be used.

By imaging the winding radii on $r_{s}$ one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{r_{s}^{2}}{R_{1}}, \quad R_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{r_{s}^{2}}{R_{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the imaged current density from:

$$
\begin{align*}
& j r d r d \varphi=j^{\prime} r^{\prime} d r^{\prime} d \varphi^{\prime}  \tag{23}\\
& j^{\prime}=j\left(\frac{r}{r^{\prime}}\right)^{4} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By a similar analysis as applied to the main winding field and gradient computation, one finds for:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\dot{B})_{\text {screen }}= & -\frac{\mu_{0} j r_{s}^{4}}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{(4 n+2)(4 n+4)} \times \\
& \times x^{(4 n+1)} \sin \left[(4 n+2) \varphi_{0}\right]\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{(4 n+4)}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{\prime(4 n+4)}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(g=\frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial x}\right)_{\text {screen }}= & -\frac{\mu_{0} j r_{s}^{4}}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(4 n+1)}{(4 n+2)(4 n+4)} \times \\
& \times x^{4 n} \sin \left[(4 n+2) \varphi_{0}\right]\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}^{(4 n+4)}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{\prime(4 n+4)}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The gradient $g$ according to Eqs. (9) and (10) is increased by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta g)_{\text {screen }} \approx \frac{\mu_{o} j}{2 \pi} \sin 2 \varphi_{o}\left[\left(\frac{R_{2}}{r_{s}}\right)^{4}-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{r_{s}}\right)^{4}\right] . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. THE QUADRUPOLE PARAMETERS

Based on the expressions derived so far and taking into account the performance obtained with the NbTi composite superconductor wound test coil, as stated in paragraph 3 of the second part of this paper, written by D. Cornish, the nominal superconducting quadrupole parameters - without screening effect - have been chosen as follows (see Fig. 8) :

| Quadrupole length | 1 | 70.0 cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winding inner radius | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 6.5 cm |
| Winding outer radius | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 14.8 cm |
| Useful aperture radius | $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ | 5.0 cm |
| Screen radius | $r_{s}$ | 33.5 cm |
| Angles of sector coil | $\varphi_{1} ; \varphi_{2}$ | $2^{\circ}$; $(30-2)^{\circ}$ |
| Over-all current density | j | $1.15 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}$ |
| Nominal current | I | 820.0 A |
| Nominal field gradient | g | $57.0 \mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}(5.7 \mathrm{kG} / \mathrm{cm})$ |
| Maximum field in winding straight part | Bolin | $4.5 \mathrm{~T}(45 \mathrm{kG})$ |
| Maximum end field | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {max }}$ | 4.9 T |
| Maximum tangential and compressive winding stress | $\sigma_{t}, \sigma_{c}$ | $<4.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| Quadrupole inductance | L | 0.6 H |
| Stored energy | A | 200 kJ |

Figure 9 shows the quadrupole gradient errors on the main axes.

## IV. WINDING OF A FULL-SCALE UPPER POLE

In order to gain experience in winding the four poles with a rather unusual geometry, a full-scale pole had been wound at CERN with a copper conductor of the final $1.52 \times 4.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}(0.06 \mathrm{in} . \times 0.16 \mathrm{in}$.$) composite Cu-superconductor cross section.$

Figure 10 shows the four pole cores and two of the $2^{\circ}$ side plates. Before winding, the core is clad with slotted, 1 m thick vetronite (glass reinforced epoxy resin), providing electrical insulation and efficient helium flow into the winding.

Since the conductors are wound in layers parallel to the $28^{\circ}$ faces of the pole cores, the layers start to depart from the inner cylinder at point $P$ (Fig. 8). As shown in Fig. 11 radial segments have been foreseen to guide the layers and determine the $90^{\circ}$ angle of a completely wound pole. In a similar way the upper circumferential segments determine the winding outer radius.

The model pole proved to be very useful in studying and determining many details of the winding technique such as twisting the conductor in order to obtain smooth layers in the coil straight parts, casting of the end helmet inner epoxy layers to fit closely the coil end geometry and machining of the $2^{\circ}$ side plates in strict accordance with the staircase-shaped straight coil parts.

The four coils will be slightly overwound so that when assembled with their side plates and pressed with the outer cylinder - one half of which is shown in Fig. 12 with helium passages and grooved vetronite insulation at the inside - a compact arrangement is obtained preventing relative displacements of individual conductors due to thermal and electromagnetic stresses.


Fig. 1. Vector potential of line current $I(x, \varphi)$ in $P(\rho, \psi)$.


Fig. 2. Vector potential of four symmetric line currents $\pm I$ in $P(\rho, \psi)$.


Fig. 3. Computation of field components $B_{\rho}$ and $B_{\psi}$ for constant current density - $j\left(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ - sector coils between the radii $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and angles $\alpha$ and ( $\pi / 2$ ) - $\alpha$.


Fig. 4. Same, but for sector coils between $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}$ and $(\pi / 4)-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right):$


Fig. 5. Uniform current density sector coil quadrupole winding with intermediate radius $\mathrm{R}_{1}$.


Fig. 6. Sector coil quadrupole winding with two current densities $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$.


Fig. 7. Influence of concentric screen on uniform current density sector coil quadrupole winding.


Fig. 8. Main geometrical parameters of the CERN superconducting quadrupole lens.


Fig. 9. Relative gradient error of the CERN SC quadrupole lens.


Fig. 10. The four pole cores, top one clad with vetronite, and two $2^{\circ}$ side plates.


Fig. 11. Winding of the full-scale model pole with copper.


Fig. 12. Pole cores, $2^{\circ}$ side plates and one-half of outside clamping cylinder.
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