



Conceptual Magnetic Design of 105 mm Aperture Dipole D2

Ramesh Gupta Brookhaven National Laboratory April 10, 2013

CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 1



Baseline specifications and performance targets (CERN):

- Aperture: 105 mm
- Inter-beam distance: 186 mm

(note this is different from 192 mm nominal LHC)

- Target operating point on load-line: 70%
- Integrated field: 35 T.m
- Magnetic length: below 10 m

(there is interest in a shorter magnet, say around 8 m, if possible)







BNL has designed, built and delivered 80 mm D2 magnets. However, there are major differences in this design:

- Significantly larger aperture (105 mm instead of 80 mm)
 over 31% more flux for similar overall yoke and cryostat
- Smaller spacing (186 mm instead of 188 mm)
 - Iess iron (21 mm instead of 48) between two apertures for more flux makes cross-talk at higher field a particular challenge



also a 2-in-1 dipole.

• In main ring dipoles, however, the field in two apertures is in opposite direction allowing one side to provide return flux path to the other.

• This is not the case in D2 since the field is in the same direction. This means that the flux on one aperture must return on the same side.

 Reducing cross-talk due to proximity of two apertures (quadrupole harmonic, etc.) and other harmonics arising from the insufficient iron at midplane is the major challenge.

 In 80 mm D2 we were able to overcome this by the unique oblate yoke design developed at BNL. Let's examine this in 105 mm which has more flux and less spacing.



UPERCONDUCTING

IVISION

AGNET





CM20 April 10, 2013



20 mm SS collar (as in previous BNL D2)

CM20 April 10, 2013





Impact of Relative Polarity (3)



IVISION

Field in the opposite direction (LHC main dipoles)



Field is lower at the center of the magnet and in the return yoke

Field in the same direction (D2 dipoles)



Field is higher at the center of the magnet and also in the return yoke



Impact of Relative Polarity on Transfer Function

MAL LABORATO SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET

IVISION





Leakage/Fringe Field



Leakage field is so high that if you wrap a good amount of extra iron on cryostat wall, you get 1.3 T over there



CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 10



Slide No. 11



Significantly larger saturation induced sextupole (b3) in D2. Positive in the first case due to a larger pole saturation, negative in second case due to larger midplane saturation.



CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 – Ramesh Gupta, BNL

7.017

Slide No. 12



Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 13



Field (T)

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Design field ~3.5 T

Field (T)

5

LABORATORY

IVISION

Δ 5 5





A quick review (3 slides only) of what we did and what we got in 80 mm LHC D2

(we did manage a good field quality in those magnets)

References

- 1. J. Muratore, et al., Test Results for Initial Productions of LHC Insertion Region Dipole Magnets, 8th European Particle Accelerator Conference at Paris, France (2002).
- 2. E. Willen, et al., Superconducting Dipole Magnets for the LHC Insertion Regions, 7th European Particle Accelerator Conference at Vienna, Austria (2000).
- 3. A. Jain, et al., Field Quality in the Twin Aperture D2 Dipole for LHC Under Asymmetric Excitation, Particle Accelerator Conference at New York, USA (1999).
- 4. A. Jain, et al., Magnetic Design of Dipole for LHC Insertion Region, 6th European Particle Accelerator Conference at Stockholm, Sweden (1998).
- 5. R.C. Gupta, et al., Coldmass for LHC Dipole Insertion Magnets, Presented at the Fifteenth International Conference on Magnet Technology (MT-15) at Beijing, China (1997).



The low field transfer function is 0.6345 T/kA for all the three cases. Fig. 3 shows the change in transfer function (from the low field value) in the right aperture as a function of the dipole field in this aperture. For a given



Fig. 2: Field lines calculated using OPERA-2D at 3.77 T (6kA) in the right aperture, with 15% more current in the left aperture





CI



CM20 April 10, 2013

Slide No. 18





Initial Optimization of the Magnetic Design of 105 mm D2 Dipole

1. Coil cross-section

2. Yoke cross-section

CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 19







- The aperture of RHIC insertion dipole D0 is100 mm. This is very close to 105 mm.
- RHIC D0 is a fully optimized and proven design. Several (all no prototype) good field quality magnets have been built and all except one spare are being used in the interaction region which requires good field quality.
- Therefore, a reasonable starting point could be to scale and tweak the coil design of RHIC D0.
- RHIC 100 mm D0 had 40 turns in five blocks. Allow 42 turns in five blocks of the 105 mm LHC D2 coil.
- Use ROXIE to fine tune the coil cross-section.



Parameters of RHIC 100 mm DO Dipole

Coil ID Coil OD Number of turns per pole Magnetic length Iron inner diameter Iron outer diameter Shell thickness Operating temperature Design current Design field Quench current

100 mm 120 mm 40 3.6 m 139.4 mm 310 mm 6.35 mm 4.6 K 5.0 kA 3.5 T





CM20 April 10, 201

Slide No. 22



LHC 105 mm D2 Coil Cross-section



SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET DIVISION



13/03/28 15:58







Optimization with ROXIE

REFER	ENCE RADIUS (mm)				35.0000
X-POS	ITION OF THE	HARMONI	C COIL (mm)			0.0000
Y-POS	ITION OF THE	HARMONI	C COIL (mm)			0.0000
MEASU	REMENT TYPE .			AI	L FIELD CONT	TRIBUTIONS
ERROR	OF HARMONIC	ANALYSI	S OF Br		().2045E-02
SUM (Br(p) - SUM (An cos(np) + Bn sin	(np))		
MAIN	FIELD (T)	•••••			••••	-4.109409
MAGNE	T STRENGTH (T	/(m^(n-	1))		••••	-4.1094
NORMA	L RELATIVE MU	LTIPOLE	S (1.D-4):			
b 1:	10000.00000	b 2:	0.0000	b 3:	0.03316	
b 4:	0.0000	b 5:	0.03930	b 6:	0.00000	
b 7:	0.14095	b 8:	0.0000	b 9:	0.14324	
b10:	0.0000	b11:	0.48417	b12:	0.00000	
b13:	0.39692	b14:	0.0000	b15:	-0.20657	
b16:	0.0000	b17:	-0.35482	b18:	0.00000	
b19:	0.07375	b20:	0.0000	b		

Harmonics (specially higher order terms) are much smaller @17 mm

CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

SUPERCONDUCTING

IVISION

AGNET

Flexible Coil Cross-section in LHC D2 (Could do the same as done in 100 mm RHIC Dipole)



SUPERCONDUCTING

IVISION

AGNET

- This flexibility in the design allowed easy harmonic tuning during the production without changing coil or yoke.
- It resulted in good field quality magnets average error <1 part in 10⁴ up to ~80% of coil radius (almost entire vacuum pipe).







IVISION

Yoke Cross-section Investigations



Major Challenge

CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 26



- OK, so iron is bad. It saturates. Get rid of it. This also gives the max cross-talk.
- Quadrupole term becomes ~400 units. Getting rid of this and other harmonics will make a funny and inefficient coil cross-section. This not practical.



CM20 April 10, 2013





- So more iron is needed. Add it within the same envelop.
- What if SS collar thickness is reduced from 20 mm for extra iron (mechanical structure becomes complicated).

Collar (mm)	B@~5.5kA	db2@35mm	db3@35 mm
20 mm	3.54	37	-54
10 mm	3.82	36	-33
5 mm	3.98	38	+29, -4

More iron gives a significantly higher field for the same current and it also reduces the saturation induced harmonics b2, b3, etc. (compare b2 at the same field)











SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET







SUPERCONDUCTING







SUPERCONDUCTING





AGNET

IVISION

Managing Saturation to Minimize Saturation-induced Harmonics

- Saturation-induced harmonics are created when the field in iron near the aperture varies as a function of angle at high fields.
- See left-right difference and angular dependence of field
- Either removing saturating iron, or forcing a uniform saturation by holes, etc.
 should reduce the saturation-induced harmonics.



LARP



Change in quad term becomes half but the absolute value becomes about 100 unit and b4 becomes about 30 unit.

> Rest of the examples are for forcing saturation rather than removing.



Midplane cutout to balance saturation between the left and the right side SUPERCONDUCTING AGNET (20 mm collar)

IVISION



Quad term becomes about half (~16 unit) and b4 <5 units @ 35 mm.

But b3 becomes large (about 100 units) due to larger midplane saturation. Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 - Ramesh Gupta, BNL CM20 April 10, 2013 Slide No. 35



Cross-talk becomes even smaller (b2 <7 unit and b4 <2 units @ 35 mm. But b3 becomes large (over 100 units) due to larger midplane saturation.

CM20 April 10, 2013





Several other cases are examined in the following slides but none has given yet a solution that has all small saturation induced harmonics



5 mm spacer with arc cutout







20 mm radially arc cutout



NATIONAL LABORATORY SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET

IVISION



20 mm moon cutout







Cutout on both side #1







Cutout on both side #2



NATIONAL LABORATORY SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET

IVISION



Discussion on Approach



- We are facing a challenging situation in going from 80 mm to 105 mm within the same yoke envelope when the since the flux is increased by over 31%. Moreover, the spacing between the two apertures is further reduced.
- There is a large difference in iron saturation between the left and the right and the pole and the midplane.
- With cutouts and holes, the right side of the aperture (at the midplane) can be forced to saturate evenly with the left side (reducing the cross-talk harmonics) but it increases the difference between pole and midplane saturation (increasing allowed harmonics).
- One can force pole saturation to increase to mitigate it.
- But we are facing a very highly saturating iron and significant fringe fields.

CM20 April 10, 2013



SUMMARY



- Large increase in flux (over 31% due to increase in coil aperture from 80 mm to 105 mm) makes yoke optimization very challenging.
- A number of techniques to reduce saturation induced harmonics have been attempted. However, so far none has produced a field quality that is typical for accelerator magnets.
- More work on optimization may continue but one should also consider alternatives:
 - Can one have a larger cryostat to allow more yoke iron?
 - Can one allow this magnet to have tens of units of harmonics?
 - Is a point optimization at high field advisable which may be influenced by iron properties (note that the saturation is high)?

CM20 April 10, 2013





CM20 April 10, 2013

Conceptual Magnetic Design of D2 – Ramesh Gupta, BNL

Slide No. 45