



# Magnetic Design Coil Optimization

Ramesh Gupta Superconducting Magnet Division Brookhaven National Laboratory



US Particle Accelerator School Arizona State University Phoenix, Arizona January 16-20, 2006



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 1 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



# **Coil Designs for Real Magnets**

#### Superconducting

**Magnet Division** 



#### • All magnets use NbTi Superconductor

• All designs use cosine theta coil geometry

**RHIC Dipole** 





Figure 4.9: The Tevatron 'warm-iron' dipole (Tollestrup 1979).

Do they really look like an ideal cosine theta current distribution?

Or that matter, even an elliptical geometry for conductor having a constant current density?



Slide No. 2 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



The optimization of a coil cross-section for a good magnetic design involves:

- Minimizing field harmonics
- Maximizing field and maximizing efficiency (field/turn)
- Minimizing Peak Field (Max. field on the conductor for given central field)

At first, it appears to be fairly straight forward process, thanks, in part, to the modern automated codes like ROXIE and PAR2DOPT, etc.,

In fact, one can build a magnet based on the optimized coil structure obtained by a relatively new user.



But the advanced cross-section optimization is a bit more involved:

- One must avoid designs that create mechanical difficulties
- One should look for flexibility to allow future adjustments
- Also look for special requirements in each application.

"One approach fits all", may not always be a good strategy.

#### My Experience:

• The initial design, quite often sets the eventual performance of the magnet, difficulties in manufacturing the magnet, etc.

• As compared to building magnets, the design process takes relatively few resources. Spend a reasonable time in looking for as many cases or options as possible despite the pressure of delivering a final design "yesterday".

• It is useful to automate the process so that one can examine many variations.



# **Field Harmonic Definitions**

The field quality in magnets is expressed in terms of the normal and skew harmonic coefficients,  $b_n$  and  $a_n$  by the following expansion:

$$B_{y} + iB_{x} = 10^{-4} \times B_{R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_{n} + ia_{n}) [(x + iy) / R]^{n-1}$$

where x and y are the horizontal and vertical coordinates,  $B_R$  is the field strength of the primary harmonics at the "reference radius" *R*. The values of the field harmonic are given in the units of 10<sup>-4</sup>.

The definition used above (European convention) differs from that used in many U.S. publications (US convention), where n-1 is replaced by n and the summation starts from n = 0.

### Note: US definition will be used while discussing earlier designs.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 5 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



### Field and Harmonics From A Radial Current Block (No Iron Present)

Consider a "*Radial Block*" between radii  $\rho_1 \& \rho_2$  and angle  $\phi_1 \& \phi_2$  having a current density of J. The total current (I) and harmonics  $(A_n, B_n)$  are given by:

$$egin{aligned} I &= rac{1}{2} J ig( 
ho_2^2 - 
ho_1^2 ig) ig( \phi_2 - \phi_1 ig) \ A_1 &= -rac{\mu_o J}{2\pi} (
ho_2 - 
ho_1) \left[ \cos{(\phi_2)} - \cos{(\phi_1)} 
ight], \ A_2 &= -rac{\mu_o J R_o}{2\pi} ln \left( rac{
ho_2}{
ho_1} 
ight) \left[ \cos{(2\phi_2)} - \cos{(2\phi_1)} 
ight], \end{aligned}$$

n = 1 refers to dipole.  $R_o$  is the reference radius.

for  $n \geq 3$ 

$$A_n = rac{\mu_o J}{2\pi} rac{R_o^{n-1}}{n \left(n-2
ight)} igg(rac{1}{
ho_2^{n-2}} - rac{1}{
ho_1^{n-2}}igg) \left[cos\left(n\phi_2
ight) - cos\left(n\phi_1
ight)
ight]$$

$$egin{split} B_1 &= -rac{\mu_o J}{2\pi} (
ho_2 - 
ho_1) [sin\left(\phi_2
ight) - sin\left(\phi_1
ight)], \ B_2 &= -rac{\mu_o J R_o}{2\pi} ln\left(rac{
ho_2}{
ho_1}
ight) [sin\left(2\phi_2
ight) - sin\left(2\phi_1
ight)], \end{split}$$

See supplementary notes for these and other derivations.

for  $n \geq 3$ 

$$B_n = rac{\mu_o J}{2\pi} \sum_{n=3}^\infty rac{R_o^{n-1}}{n \left(n-2
ight)} igg(rac{1}{
ho_2^{n-2}} - rac{1}{
ho_1^{n-2}}igg) \left[sin\left(n\phi_2
ight) - sin\left(n\phi_1
ight)
ight]$$

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 6 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



## Homework Assignment (one block)

Superconducting **Magnet Division** 

Assume that a current block is between radii 10 cm and 12 cm and it starts at an angle  $\Phi = 0$ .

Find the subtended angle (or the angle at which the block must end) for

- The normal sextupole harmonic to be zero. Is it a unique solution?
- Assume that you have dipole symmetry. How many other blocks must be present to generate this symmetry (give number and angles).
- Compute the values of the first three non-zero allowed harmonics in Tesla  $(A_n \text{ and/or } B_n)$  at a reference radius of 6 cm.
- Do the above for the decapole harmonic to be zero. Is it a unique solution?
- Can you find a solution for which both sextupole and decapole harmonics are zero? The block does not have to start from an angle  $\Phi = 0$ .

What happens if it is in a cylindrical iron cavity having a permeability of

- (a) 10, (b) 100 and (c) 1000.
- Hint: You can use the method of images.

Additional assignment: Make OPERA2d or POISSON model to study above.





## Homework Assignment (two blocks)

Assume that there are two current blocks. First between radii 10 cm and 11 cm and second between 11 cm and 12 cm. Both start at an angle  $\Phi = 0$ .

Find the subtended angle (the angle at which block must end) for the normal sextupole harmonic and decapole harmonics to be zero.

Is it a unique solution?

What happens if it is in a cylindrical iron cavity having a permeability of

- **(a) 10**
- (b) 100 and
- (b) 1,000.

#### Hint: You can use the method of images.

**Additional assignment:** Make OPERA2d or POISSON model to study above.



- **1.** Look for designs that look similar to cosine theta distribution (experience)
- 2. Use special techniques/software to find good designs (artificial intelligence)
- 3. Cover a large range of combinations and find the best
- My recommendation, go for the 3<sup>rd</sup> option (several thousand cases):
  - It does not take long to look a large number of possibilities less than a few seconds per case if the peak field is not computed.
- To save time compute peak field only in promising solutions.



Superconducting

### How to Look for Optimal X-sections (2)

Magnet Division Develop a front-end program to automatically

create several cases for a series optimization run.

In this optimization:

- Vary number of blocks and number of turn in those blocks.
- Vary starting condition of wedges, etc.

Post-process results to select a limited number of cases with filters for harmonics, etc.

• Compute peak field for these selected cases.

Go back and carefully evaluate and further optimize these few cases for performance, mechanical layout, flexibility, sensitivity, and/or any other requirement.





### An Example of Pre-processor for Generating Cases for a Series Run

Superconducting Magnet Division

### A D07GEN Run



1 N1 19.00000 0. 1. 30.							
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increament in FOR017]							
13 21 2							
3 N2 16.00000 0. 1. 30.							
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increament in FOR017]							
12 18 3							
5 N3 13.00000 0. 1. 4.							
enter min, max number of turns for blk [and increament in FUR017]							
(N4 = 10.0 = 0.0 = 0.44							
encer min,max number of curns for pik (and increament in FoRVI/)							
onter min may number of turne for blk [and increament in FORA17]							
3 8 1							
11 N5 4.0 0.0 0. 4.							
enter min_max number of turns for blk [and increament in FOR017]							
YOU HAVE SPECIFED # cases= 47250							
Enter start cycle, end cycle [ <cr> for all]</cr>							
10001 20000							
Base design has total turns = 68							
ENTER min,max number of total turns							
67 69							
Do you wish a constraint on a subtotal?							
eg total number of turns for inner layer (yes/[NO])							
Y							
Enter First, Last blocks to be included in sum							
1 J							
ENTER LOWER, UPPER LIMITS OI SUM							
20 40							

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

9.73±0.03mm

Slide No. 11 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



### An Example of Post-processor for Selecting Cases

Superconducting Magnet Division

### **A PARSLCT Run**

BNLADA	i>ty LAN	L-73TX1	.D. PARS	LCT;															
LANL	quad **	*SELECT	' CASES	***			10-DF	EC-01 1	1:18:	39	BNLADA\$I	IKA200:[0	SUPTA.L	ANL]LAI	NL-73T	X1D.D04	;1		
⋕ WMP	BLOC	KS	RIN	ROU	T Ըւ	ırrent	Thicl	ness	KEYST	ONE /	AzInsul	<	Cal	ble In:	format	ion			
1 4.0	11.		18.216	5 19.	3845	1.		53.0	3	• 2	3.45								
Turns	Chisq	T.F.	Pole	b2	b4	b6	b8	b10	b12	W:	1 W2	W3	W4	W5	CASE	ENHin	BssInf		
JKDA56	i 0.720	0.337	43.00	-0.05	0.03	-0.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.4	69 2.185	5 0.764	9.297	0.303	3 301	26.598	24.93		
JKDC45	i 0.951	0.341	43.00	-0.06	-0.13	-0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.39	99 1.368	8 2.439	8.276	0.533	305	25.430	25.78		
JKDC 54	0.451	0.342	42.99	-0.13	-0.12	-0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	09 1.542	2.103	8.304	0.75	5 306	24.999	26.10		
JKDC 63	0.579	0.342	42.97	-0.07	-0.17	-0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	00 1.514	2.084	8.372	0.723	1 307	24.740	26.28		
JOAA46	5 0.447	0.339	43.00	0.08	-0.03	-0.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	93 2.666	5 0.324	8.964	0.573	2 346	25.952	25.40		
JOAA55	5 0.570	0.339	42.99	0.00	0.09	-0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	99 2.622	2 0.413	9.046	0.42	9 347	25.924	25.42		
JOAA73	0.641	0.339	43.00	0.05	0.04	-0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.4	76 2.623	0.325	9.126	0.46	8 349	25.554	25.67		
JOAC44	0.698	0.343	42.92	0.01	0.02	-0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	87 1.691	2.273	8.287	0.303	3 3 5 0	24.896	26.20		
100 c	ases ex	amined.	. 8 ca	ses sel	ected	for:	CHISQ<	5.0000	TF>	0.300	POLE<85.	00;  bn	< 1.00	1.00 1	1.00 1	.00 1.00	0 1.00	1.00 1	00
A=10 B	3=11 C=1	2 D=13	E=14 F	'=15 G=1	6 H=17	7 I=18	J=19 H	<=20 L=	21 M=	22 N=3	23 O=24 H	?=25 Q=2€	5 R=27	S=28 T:	=29 U=	30 V=31	₩=32 X	(=33 Y=	34 Z=3
FILEna	:m <u>e</u> =		BNLAI	A\$DKA20	0:[GUI	TA.LA	NL]LANI	L-73TX1	D.D04	;1									

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 12 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL

5



Note the order of magnitude of change in harmonics due to a 25 micron change in dimension.



## Influence of Coil Parameters in the SSC 50 mm Aperture Dipole

**Table 4.3.1:** The effect of a  $+25\mu m$  change in a wedge thickness or pole width on the transfer function and the field harmonics in the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole magnet. The field harmonics are calculated with a 10 mm reference radius. The numbering of the wedges is counter-clockwise from the midplane. The pole width is measured from the vertical axis.

#### (coil radius = 25 mm, reference radius = 10 mm)

Parameter	$\delta TF$	$\delta b_2$	$\delta b_4$	$\delta b_6$
changed	$10^{-4} \frac{T}{kA}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$
Wedge No. 1	-0.78	-0.24	0.01	0.005
Wedge No. 2	0.42	0.30	0.03	-0.005
Wedge No. 3	1.16	0.36	-0.02	0.00
Wedge No. 4	-0.29	-0.06	0.00	0.00
Pole Width (inner)	2.0	0.23	-0.03	0.005
Pole Width (outer)	1.13	0.21	0.00	0.000

#### Wedge No. 4 is in outer layer.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 13 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)





## Influence of Coil Parameters in the RHIC 80 mm Aperture Dipole

Table 4.3.2: The computed change in the transfer function and field harmonics produced by a  $+25\mu m~(0.001'')$  change in the wedge thickness, pole width or midplane gap in the RHIC 80 mm aperture arc dipoles. The field harmonics are calculated with a 25 mm reference radius. The numbering of the wedges starts at the midplane. The pole width and midplane gap are measured from the vertical and horizontal axis, respectively.

#### (coil radius = 40 mm, reference radius = 25 mm)

Parameter	$\delta TF$	$\delta b_2$	$\delta b_4$	$\delta b_6$	$\delta b_8$
changed	$10^{-4} rac{T}{kA}$	1 <b>0</b> -4	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$
Wedge 1	-0.6	-0.98	-0.122	0.061	0.043
Wedge 2	0.1	0.69	0.423	0.022	-0.050
Wedge 3	1.1	1.42	-0.090	-0.068	<b>0.0</b> 41
Pole Width	1.7	1.11	-0.154	0.039	-0.014
Midplane Gap	-0.9	-1.68	-0.557	-0.156	-0.050

Notice that the magnitude of change in harmonics due to a 25  $\mu$ *m* change, is much larger in RHIC dipole than in SSC dipoles. WHY?

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

16.2102

Slide No. 14 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



### Change in Harmonics for 0.1 mm Change in Dimension in D0 Dipole

<b>RHIC Insert</b>	ion Dipole	D0 with	single layer	coil

- Coil inner radius = 100 mm,
- Harmonic reference radius = 65 mm



											100000		
'D0 MAGNET" : Rough Cross section Optimization Spread sheet 🔽										1004	midpl	ane	
To iterate cros	o iterate cross section for b2 & b4 with midplane and pole shims and fixed wedge chang										o to lir	ne b65:	b72
Expected Par		b2	b4	b6	<b>b8</b>	b10	b12	b14	b16				
Coil Prestress 1 mil from the target. Expected Ha				armonic	6.23	2.24	0.54	0.240	-0.012	0.132	-0.047	-0.099	
C	oil Siz	e.				db2	db4	db6	db8	db10	db12	db14	db16
Target Increase	5	in Coil size	Mutliply	Change(mil)	Target b2n	-8.00	-2.30	-0.16	-0.15	0.016	-0.15	0.039	0.096
Total Increase	6	mil in compre	ssion			-1.77	-0.06	0.38	0.09	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	0.00
Midplane(mil)	1	Fixed Pole	0.25	4	-0.00333	-5.79	-1.85	-0.48	-0.16	-0.06	-0.02	-0.01	0.00
PoleShim(mil)	1	Decrease Pole	0.25	4	0.006	3.98	-0.53	0.09	-0.05	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Wedge1(mil)	4	Fixed Pole	1	4	-0.0012	-1.32	0.53	0.48	0.14	0.02	-0.01	-0.01	0.00
Wedge2(mil)	0	Fixed Pole	0	4	0.00119	3.05	1.28	-0.06	-0.16	-0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00
Wedge3(mil)	0	Fixed Pole	0	4	0.00314	4.80	0.30	-0.37	0.02	0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.00
Wedge4(mil)	0	Fixed Pole	0	4	0.00508	4.89	-0.65	-0.01	0.04	-0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00
Midplane+4mil	0	Increase Pole	0	4	-0.0097	-9.76	-1.31	-0.57	-0.11	-0.07	-0.02	-0.02	0.00
Wedge1+4mil	0	Increase Pole	0	4	-0.00758	-5.28	1.06	0.389	0.187	0.006	-0.006	-0.013	0
Wedge2+4mil	0	Increase Pole	0	4	-0.0052	-0.91	1.81	-0.155	-0.117	-0.018	0.025	-0.002	0
Wedge3+4mil	0	Increase Pole	0	4	-0.00323	0.83	0.82	-0.462	0.067	0.023	-0.004	-0.006	0.004
Wedge4+4mil	0	Increase Pole	0	4	-0.0013	4.07	-1.37	0.439	-0.127	0.174	-0.184	-0.057	0

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 15 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



# A Flexible Design from the Beginning

#### Superconducting Magnet Division

### **Design Philosophy:**

• Start out with a design that allows significant adjustability for field harmonics and mechanical parameters (cable thickness, wedges, etc.).

• A flexible design is generally economical, efficient and produces magnets with better performance. I think it's a prudent approach.



Geometric: Start with a larger than required shim and midplane cap. Then adjust it, as required without changing the cross-section of the cured coil. One can also adjust the layers of wedge/cable insulation, if needed. These three parameters can adjust the first two allowed harmonics and pre-stress or cable insulation. This approach was used extensively in various RHIC magnets.

Saturation: Start out with holes in the yoke and fill them with magnetic iron rods later. Or, punch holes in yoke laminations later.



### Change in Midplane Gap to Adjust Harmonics

(can be easily done by changing the size of the ground-plane insulation cap)



Table 4.4.1: The computed and measured change in field harmonics at 25 mm reference radius due to a change in the coil midplane gap. The midplane gap was increased from 0.114 mm to 0.16 mm in the rebuilt 80 mm aperture RHIC model dipole magnet DRS009. In the production magnets, the midplane gap was changed back to 0.114 mm from 0.16 mm to adjust the  $b_4$  harmonic.

Coil-to-coil midplane gap (1 in dipole)

	$\Delta b_2$	$\Delta b_4$	$\Delta b_6$	$\Delta b_8$
Computed	-3.0	-1.0	-0.28	-0.09
Measured	-3.0	-1.0	-0.29	-0.12

Table 4.5.1: The measured and computed change in field harmonics caused by an asymmetric increase in the coil-to-midplane gap in the prototype 130 mm aperture RHIC interaction quadrupole QRI002. The gap was increased by 0.1 mm in the horizontal plane only. The harmonics are given at a reference radius of 40 mm.

Coil-to-coil midplane gap (2 in quads)

	$\Delta b_3$	$\Delta b_5$	$\Delta b_7$	$\Delta b_9$
Computed	-6.8	-1.3	-0.45	-0.16
Measured	-6.5	-1.2	-0.30	-0.17

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets



#### RHIC 100 mm Aperture Insertion Dipole: The first magnet gets the body harmonics right

#### Geometric Field Errors on the X-axis of DRZ101 Body

#### First magnet and first attempt in RHIC 100 mm aperture insertion dipole

A number of things were done in the test assembly to get pre-stress & harmonics right



Note: Field errors are within  $10^{-4}$  at 60% of coil radius and ~4\*10<sup>-4</sup> at 80% radius.

Later magnets had adjustments for integral field and saturation control. The coil cross-section never changed.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Harmonics at 2 kA (mostly geometric).

Measured in 0.23 m long straigth section.

#### Reference radius = 31 mm

b1	-0.39	a2	-1.06
<b>b2</b>	-0.39	a3	-0.19
<b>b3</b>	-0.07	a4	0.21
<b>b4</b>	0.78	a5	0.05
b5	-0.05	a6	-0.20
<b>b6</b>	0.13	a7	0.02
<b>b7</b>	-0.03	a8	-0.16
<mark>b8</mark>	0.14	a9	-0.01
b9	0.02	a10	0.01
b10	-0.04	a11	-0.06
b11	0.03	a12	-0.01
b12	0.16	a13	0.06
b13	-0.03	a14	0.03
b14	-0.10	a15	0.02

All harmonics are within or close to one sigma of RHIC arc dipoles.

Slide No. 18 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



### Average Field errors ~10<sup>-4</sup> up to 80% of the coil radius

Geometric Field Errors on the X-axis of RHIC DRZ magnets (108-125)

Coil X-section was not changed between 1<sup>st</sup> prototype and final production magnet

#### Superconducting Magnet Division

#### Note:

80% of coil radius, not just 2/3.

At 80%, you are close to inner radius of beam tube. This means that almost entire physical aperture has become a good field aperture.



A Flexible & Experimental Design Approach Allowed Right Pre-stress & Right Harmonics Estimated Integral Mean in Final Set (Warm-cold correlation used in estimating) Harmonics at 3kA (mostly geometric) Reference radius is 31 mm (Coil 50 mm)

b1-0.28a1-0.03b2-0.26a2-3.36b3-0.07a30.03b40.15a40.48b50.00a50.04b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a140.02			•	/
b2-0.26a2-3.36b3-0.07a30.03b40.15a40.48b50.00a50.04b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a140.02	b1	-0.28	a1	-0.03
b3-0.07a30.03b40.15a40.48b50.00a50.04b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a140.02	b2	-0.26	a2	-3.36
b40.15a40.48b50.00a50.04b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a140.02	b3	-0.07	a3	0.03
b50.00a50.04b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a140.02	b4	0.15	a4	0.48
b60.32a6-0.24b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b5	0.00	a5	0.04
b70.00a70.01b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b6	0.32	a6	-0.24
b8-0.08a80.05b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b7	0.00	a7	0.01
b90.00a90.00b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b8	-0.08	a8	0.05
b10-0.12a10-0.02b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b9	0.00	a9	0.00
b110.03a11-0.01b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b10	-0.12	a10	-0.02
b120.16a120.06b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b11	0.03	a11	-0.01
b13-0.03a130.03b14-0.10a140.02	b12	0.16	a12	0.06
b14 -0.10 a14 0.02	b13	-0.03	a13	0.03
	b14	-0.10	a14	0.02

\*Raw Data Provided by Animesh Jain at BNL

\*Field errors are 10<sup>-4</sup> to 80% of the aperture at midplane.\* (Extrapolation used in going from 34 mm to 40 mm; reliability decreases)

Slide No. 19 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Rame



### Octupole in Quadrupoles When Quad Assembled Like Dipoles

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 20 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



### A Simple Method For Removing Octupole From Quad

Superconducting Magnet Division

> BASIC Problem (a) Trying to assemble quad like dipole 01 (b) Starting Yoke i.d. is circular (which becomes non-circular after assembly) Start out of round yoke; So that when assembled, it is sound Too late for that (and too expensive of a change) 2. Create delibrate assymmtry in coil to compensate for that 0.2 # 0.2 mm Fasy, Tested and Worked SHIMS - 100 AND/CR-1155 MAGNING TUN NG SHIMS - 100 OI mm

Type this slide. Give actual values of midplane gaps (shims) with pictures indicating what was used where.



## Minimization of Non-allowed Harmonics

Superconducting Magnet Division

# Non-allowed harmonics are those that are not allowed by basic symmetry.

In quadrupoles, the allowed harmonics are b1, b5, b9, b13, etc.

- For cost reasons, RHIC quadrupoles are assembled like dipole (i.e. with 2-fold symmetry rather than 4-fold).
- Also note that pole notch is only at two places instead of four, as required by quad symmetry.

Both of above generate octupole (b3) and other higher order harmonics (b7, b13, ...) that are not allowed in an ideal quad. The magnitude of octupole generated by the first source is several units, whereas the magnitude generated by the second source is a few tenth.

#### RHIC ARC QUADRUPOLE



A deliberately designed asymmetry in the coil midplane gaps (gap between coils at the vertical plane vs. gap at the horizontal plane) cancels the octupole from the above sources.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 22 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh



Optimization/Calculations of Other Quantities

- Minimization of field harmonics is the primary and the most time consuming task of optimizing a coil geometry.
- However, the overall coil design requires calculations and optimization of several other quantities.

They will be explained in the next few slides.

- The peak field is the maximum field on the superconducting coil, whereas the field enhancement ratio is the peak field on the coil as compared to the field at the magnet center at the same current.
- One major concern is the minimization of this enhancement ratio.
- Magnet performance is limited by the maximum field on the superconductor and the useful field is determined by the field at the magnet center for that peak field on the coil at a given current.



## Computed Peak Fields in SSC Dipoles

Table 6.2.6: Peak fields in the SSC 50 mm dipole as computed using code MDP.



Slide No. 24 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



## Computed Performance of SSC Dipole

**Table 6.2.7:** Expected quench performance of the SSC 50 mm dipole with 5% cable degradation ( $J_c = 2612.5A/mm^2$ ) and at 4.35 K temperature.  $S_{quench}$  is the computed current density in the copper at quench and  $S_{6.7T}$  at the design field of 6.7 Tesla.

Layer	$\mathrm{Cu}/\mathrm{Sc}$	Bss	$I_{c}$	$B_{margin}$	$T_{margin}$	$S_{quench}$	$S_{6.7T}$
Ļ	Ratio	tesla	A	%over 6.7T	kelvin	$A/cm^2$	$A/cm^2$
Inner	1.7	7.149	7126	6.7	0.519	736	<b>6</b> 81
	1.5	7.273	7273	8.6	0.625	788	715
	1.3	7.399	7411	10.4	0.730	853	759
Outer	2.0	7.268	7267	8.7	0.580	919	834
	1.8	7.445	7470	11.1	0.709	980	865

the magnet will quench at the design central field ( $B_{design}=6.7$  tesla). The field margin is defined as follows

$$B_{margin}~(\%) = rac{B_{ss}-B_{design}}{B_{design}} imes 100$$

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 25 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Rat



### Error in Parts and Influence in Field Harmonics on SSC Dipole

**Table 6.2.8:** The effect of a 0.05 mm increase in the given parameter on the transfer function and the field harmonics.

Parameter	$\mathrm{TF}$	$b_2$	$b_4$	$b_6$
changed	T/kA	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$
Radius of Block No. 1	0.31	-0.25	-0.10	-0.01
Radius of Block No. 2	-0.32	0.31	0.12	0.01
Radius of Block No. 3	-0.12	0.36	-0.02	-0.01
Radius of Block No. 4	-0.20	0.33	-0.08	0.01
Radius of Block No. $5$	-0.11	-0.04	-0.01	0.00
Radius of Block No. 6	-0.78	0.22	0.03	0.00
RMS Blocks	0.38	0.27	0.07	0.01

Thickness of Wedge No. 1	-1.56	-0.48	0.02	0.01
Thickness of Wedge No. 2	0.83	0.59	0.05	-0.01
Thickness of Wedge No. 3	2.32	0.71	-0.04	0.00
Thickness of Wedge No. 4	-0.57	-0.11	0.00	0.00
RMS Wedges	1.48	0.52	0.03	0.01
Cable thickness inner	2.63	1.08	0.05	-0.01
Cable thickness outer	1.99	0.48	0.02	0.00
RMS Cable thickness	2.33	0.83	0.04	0.01
Pole angle inner	-4.01	-0.45	0.06	-0.01
Pole angle outer	-2.26	-0.42	0.00	0.00
RMS Pole angles	3.25	0.43	0.04	0.01

Slide No. 26 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



### Stored Energy and Inductance Calculations

The stored energy and the inductance are related through the following formula :

Stored Energy =  $\frac{1}{2}$ Inductance × (Current)<sup>2</sup>.

The inductance decreases at high field as the iron yoke saturates.

The results of POISSON computations for the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole are given

at 6.5 kA in Table 6.2.9 for the stored energy per unit length and the inductance per unit

length. The total stored energy and the inductance for a 15 m long dipole are also given.

**Table 6.2.9:** Stored Energy and Inductance at 6.5 kA as computed with the code POISSON for the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole.

2-d Modeling programs		
2-u wrotering programs	Stored Energy per unit length, $kJ/m$	105.0
compute stored energy.		
Don't forget to	Stored Energy for 15 m long Dipole, kJ	1575.6
multiply by the	Inductance per unit length, mH/m	4.972
program symmetry.	Inductance for 15 m long Dipole, mH	74.585



Superconducting

### Lorentz Forces on the Individual Turns of the SSC Dipole



Slide No. 28 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



## Forces in the Current Blocks of the SSC Dipole

The table summarizes the forces on the blocks in the example SSC dipole.

BLOCK	F <sub>x</sub> , lb./in	F <sub>Y</sub> , Ib/in	F <sub>x</sub> ,N/m	F <sub>Y</sub> ,N/m
1	1005.46	-108.98	1.76E+05	-1.91E+04
2	1312.68	-237.56	2.30E+05	-4.16E+04
3	612.52	-151.97	1.07E+05	-2.66E+04
4	650.99	-116.62	1.14E+05	-2.04E+04
5	231.73	-384.60	4.06E+04	-6.74E+04
6	1208.86	-1371.22	2.12E+05	-2.40E+05
Total	5022.24	-2370.96	8.80E+05	-4.15E+05



Slide No. 29 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



# Goals of End Design

#### Superconducting Magnet Division

### **Magnetic Design**

- Optimize for low integrated harmonics
- Guide design towards lower peak field without large increase in length
- Compute cross talk and fringe fields

### Mechanical Layout

- Minimize strain and tilt of the cable in the end. Minimize large changes
- Cable and entire ends should be well supported (constrained)

In low field magnets, magnetic design drives the end design, whereas, in high field (high force) magnets, the mechanical design must!



### Ends of Cosine Theta Cable Magnets

#### Superconducting

**Magnet Division** 





January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 31 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



### End Harmonic Optimization (conceptual)

#### Superconducting

**Magnet Division** 





- End spacers increase the straight section length of some turns (turns at midplane go further out)
  - Now consider the integral field generated by each turn. The harmonic component generated by a turn will depend on the angular location of it. The integral strength will depend on the length.
- A proper choice of end spacers can make integral end-harmonics small. However, note that the local values are large.
- Spacer also reduce the maximum value of field on the conductor (peak field) in the end.

0.1mz



## **Block Structure**

Superconducting Magnet Division





#### Equal spacing in "Red Color" blocks is used as harmonic optimization parameters

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 33 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



### Tilt of Turns in Various End Blocks

Superconducting Magnet Division





Slide No. 34 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



### The AKF Parameter of Turns in Various End Blocks

AKF indicates the deviation from constant perimeter (hence strain on the cable)



Jin January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 35 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



#### Superconducting

**Magnet Division** 

Coil End: Design A





# **Peak Field Minimization**

A high peak field reduces the magnet quench performance.

> A large effort must be undertaken in 2-d optimization.



Usually about a thousand cases are examined to :

Minimize harmonics
Find a solution with lower peak field
Good mechanical turn configuration (wedges, tilt angle, etc).

A series of computer programs have been written to carry out the above optimization in an exhaustive and systematic manner.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets



## Peak Field in the Body of the Magnet

# Peak Field Location (pole turn) 8.846672F+001 25/Apr/2002 14:44:32 Map contours: BMOD 8.846672E+001 30 X 1.207416E+000 **VF VECTOR FIELDS VF** VECTOR FIELDS

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 38 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



# Peak Field in the End

#### Superconducting Magnet Division

#### An example of an End Design





# Peak Field in the End



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 40 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



#### Superconducting

## **Peak Field in the End** How does it compare to Body?



In this example, the peak value is larger in the end than in the body of the magnet.

In a typical end design, one removes iron (or increases yoke i.d.) to reduce field in the end.

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 41 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Rame



Peak Field in the Ends

In cosine theta magnets, the conductors in the Ends are more strained and the mechanical design is generally less robust.

Therefore, one would like the peak field in the Ends to be less than that in the body of the magnet, to give conductor a larger margin.



Slide No. 42 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



# Ends with 3 Re-adjusted Spacers

Example (optimized):

Re-adjusted end spacer brings field in the ends down to S.S. level.



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 43 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ra



### Peak Field Straight Section vs. Ends

#### Superconducting

Magnet Division



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 44 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



## **Block Structure**

Superconducting Magnet Division





#### Equal spacing in "Red Color" blocks is used as harmonic optimization parameters

January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 45 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



## End Harmonic Optimization: SMINSQ

**Design** A 28 24 20 9 2 12 16 20 24 28 32 [MORGAN.CNSTND.LANL]ENDHRMMB\_EDGS.PLT: 14:46:12 . 27-JAN-02 GPLOT

#### **Block configuration:**

(8 blocks, 70 turns):

10, <mark>5</mark>, 8, 4, 13, 4, <mark>6</mark>, 20

(counting from pole) January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets Parameters optimized:

End spacers in block #2 (with 5 turns) and end spacer in block #7 (with 4 turns).

All spacers with in a block have the same size.

Changing the size of two group of end spacers was adequate to get all harmonics small. Computed values:  $B_5 < 1$  unit-meter;  $B_9$  and  $B_{13} < 0.1$  unit-m Effective Magnetic Length ~15.6 cm Mechanical Length ~28 cm + End Saddle

Slide No. 46 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh Gupta, BNL



# Field through the Coil Ends

Superconducting Magnet Division



Mechanical length of this end (including end saddle)  $\sim$ 34 cm :  $\sim$  2 coil diameters

Contribution to magnetic length ~16 cm



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 47 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ran



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

KH*R*A/FN

Slide No. 48 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization)



# Field Harmonics through the End : b<sub>9</sub>

Superconducting Magnet Division



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 49 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ram



January 16-20, 2006, Superconducting Accelerator Magnets

Slide No. 50 of Lecture 4 (Coil Optimization) Ramesh



## SUMMARY

### We are now expert (almost!) in:

2d coil design

**Requires good mechanical, magnetic and flexible design.** 

**3d coil design** 

**Requires good mechanical and magnetic design.** 

We have studied 2-d and 3-d design optimization in *cosine "n" theta* (or cylindrical coil) geometry. Optimization of alternate magnet designs with *racetrack coils* will be discussed in a separate lecture.