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Coil Designs for Real Magnets

- All magnets use NbTi Superconductor
- All designs use cosine theta coil geometry

Do they really look like an ideal cosine theta current distribution?

Or that matter, even an elliptical geometry for conductor having a constant current density?
The optimization of a coil cross-section for a good magnetic design involves:

- Minimizing field harmonics
- Maximizing field and maximizing efficiency (field/turn)
- Minimizing Peak Field (Max. field on the conductor for given central field)

At first, it appears to be fairly straightforward process, thanks, in part, to the modern automated codes like ROXIE and PAR2DOPT, etc.,

In fact, one can build a magnet based on the optimized coil structure obtained by a relatively new user.
Coil Cross-section Optimization (2)

But the advanced cross-section optimization is a bit more involved:

- One must avoid designs that create mechanical difficulties
- One should look for flexibility to allow future adjustments
- Also look for special requirements in each application.

"One approach fits all", may not always be a good strategy.

My Experience:

- The initial design, quite often sets the eventual performance of the magnet, difficulties in manufacturing the magnet, etc.

- As compared to building magnets, the design process takes relatively few resources. Spend a reasonable time in looking for as many cases or options as possible despite the pressure of delivering a final design “yesterday”.
- It is useful to automate the process so that one can examine many variations.
The field quality in magnets is expressed in terms of the normal and skew harmonic coefficients, $b_n$ and $a_n$ by the following expansion:

$$B_y + iB_x = 10^{-4} \times B_R \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n + ia_n)[(x + iy)/R]^{n-1}$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the horizontal and vertical coordinates, $B_R$ is the field strength of the primary harmonics at the “reference radius” $R$. The values of the field harmonic are given in the units of $10^{-4}$.

The definition used above (European convention) differs from that used in many U.S. publications (US convention), where $n-1$ is replaced by $n$ and the summation starts from $n = 0$.

**Note:** US definition will be used while discussing earlier designs.
Consider a “Radial Block” between radii $\rho_1$ & $\rho_2$ and angle $\phi_1$ & $\phi_2$ having a current density of $J$. The total current ($I$) and harmonics ($A_n$, $B_n$) are given by:

\[ I = \frac{1}{2} J (\rho_2^2 - \rho_1^2)(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \]

\[ A_1 = -\frac{\mu_0 J}{2\pi} (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \left[ \cos(\phi_2) - \cos(\phi_1) \right], \]

\[ A_2 = -\frac{\mu_0 J R_o}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right) \left[ \cos(2\phi_2) - \cos(2\phi_1) \right], \]

for $n \geq 3$

\[ A_n = \frac{\mu_0 J}{2\pi} \frac{R_o^{n-1}}{n(n-2)} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_2^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n-2}} \right) \left[ \cos(n\phi_2) - \cos(n\phi_1) \right] \]

\[ B_1 = -\frac{\mu_0 J}{2\pi} (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \left[ \sin(\phi_2) - \sin(\phi_1) \right], \]

\[ B_2 = -\frac{\mu_0 J R_o}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right) \left[ \sin(2\phi_2) - \sin(2\phi_1) \right], \]

for $n \geq 3$

\[ B_n = \frac{\mu_0 J}{2\pi} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{R_o^{n-1}}{n(n-2)} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_2^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n-2}} \right) \left[ \sin(n\phi_2) - \sin(n\phi_1) \right] \]

$n = 1$ refers to dipole. $R_o$ is the reference radius.

See supplementary notes for these and other derivations.
Homework Assignment
(one block)

Assume that a current block is between radii 10 cm and 12 cm and it starts at an angle $\Phi = 0$.

Find the subtended angle (or the angle at which the block must end) for

- The normal sextupole harmonic to be zero. Is it a unique solution?
- Assume that you have dipole symmetry. How many other blocks must be present to generate this symmetry (give number and angles).
- Compute the values of the first three non-zero allowed harmonics in Tesla ($A_n$ and/or $B_n$) at a reference radius of 6 cm.
- Do the above for the decapole harmonic to be zero. Is it a unique solution?
- Can you find a solution for which both sextupole and decapole harmonics are zero? The block does not have to start from an angle $\Phi = 0$.

What happens if it is in a cylindrical iron cavity having a permeability of (a) 10, (b) 100 and (c) 1000.

Hint: You can use the method of images.

Additional assignment: Make OPERA2d or POISSON model to study above.
Assume that there are two current blocks. First between radii 10 cm and 11 cm and second between 11 cm and 12 cm. Both start at an angle $\Phi = 0$.

Find the subtended angle (the angle at which block must end) for the normal sextupole harmonic and decapole harmonics to be zero.

Is it a unique solution?

What happens if it is in a cylindrical iron cavity having a permeability of

(a) 10
(b) 100 and
(b) 1,000.

Hint: You can use the method of images.

Additional assignment: Make OPERA2d or POISSON model to study above.
1. Look for designs that look similar to cosine theta distribution (experience)

2. Use special techniques/software to find good designs (artificial intelligence)

3. Cover a large range of combinations and find the best

**My recommendation, go for the 3rd option (several thousand cases):**

It does not take long to look a large number of possibilities - less than a few seconds per case if the peak field is not computed.

- To save time compute peak field only in promising solutions.
Develop a front-end program to automatically create several cases for a series optimization run.

In this optimization:
• Vary number of blocks and number of turn in those blocks.
• Vary starting condition of wedges, etc.

Post-process results to select a limited number of cases with filters for harmonics, etc.
• Compute peak field for these selected cases.

Go back and carefully evaluate and further optimize these few cases for performance, mechanical layout, flexibility, sensitivity, and/or any other requirement.
An Example of Pre-processor for Generating Cases for a Series Run

A D07GEN Run

```
1 N1 10.00000 0. 1. 30.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
13 21 2

3 N2 16.00000 0. 1. 30.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
12 18 3

5 N3 13.00000 0. 1. 4.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
10 14 1

7 N4 10.0 0.0 0. 4.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
8 12 1

9 N5 6.00000 0. 1. 4.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
3 8 1

11 N5 4.0 0.0 0. 4.
enter min,max number of turns for blk [and increment in FOR017]
2 6 1

YOU HAVE SPECIFIED # CASES= 47250
Enter start cycle, end cycle [<cr> for all] 10001 20000
Base design has total turns = 60
ENTER min,max number of total turns
67 69
Do you wish a constraint on a subtotal? y
total number of turns for inner layer-- [yes/NO]) y
Enter First, Last blocks to be included in sum 1 3
ENTER lower,upper limits of sum 20 40
```
### A PARSLLCT Run

```
BNLADA$ty LANL-73TX1D.PARSLCT;
LANL quad ***SELECT CASES***          10-DEC-01 11:18:39  BNLADA$DKA200:[GUPTA.LANL]LANL-73TX1D.D04;1
# &KP  BLOCKS  RIN ROUT Current Thickness KEYSTONE AzInsul        <-----  Cable Information
1 4.0 11. 18.2165 19.3045 1. 53.0 3.2 3.45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turns</th>
<th>ChiSQ</th>
<th>T.F.</th>
<th>Pole</th>
<th>b2</th>
<th>b4</th>
<th>b6</th>
<th>b8</th>
<th>b10</th>
<th>b12</th>
<th>W1</th>
<th>W2</th>
<th>W3</th>
<th>W4</th>
<th>W5</th>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>ENHin</th>
<th>BssInf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JKDA56</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>2.185</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>9.297</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>26.598</td>
<td>24.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JKDC45</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>2.439</td>
<td>8.276</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>25.430</td>
<td>25.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JKDC54</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>42.99</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>8.304</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>24.999</td>
<td>26.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JKDC63</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>42.97</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>1.514</td>
<td>2.084</td>
<td>8.372</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>24.740</td>
<td>26.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAA46</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>2.666</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>8.964</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>25.952</td>
<td>25.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAA55</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>42.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>2.622</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>9.046</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>25.924</td>
<td>25.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAA73</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>9.126</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>25.554</td>
<td>25.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAC44</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>42.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>1.691</td>
<td>2.273</td>
<td>8.267</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>24.996</td>
<td>26.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

100 cases examined. 8 cases selected for: CHISQ< 5.0000 T.F> 0.300 POLE< 85.00; |bn|< 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
FILENAME  =  BNLADA$DKA200:[GUPTA.LANL]LANL-73TX1D.D04;1
Note the order of magnitude of change in harmonics due to a 25 micron change in dimension.

**Table 4.3.1:** The effect of a $+25\mu m$ change in a wedge thickness or pole width on the transfer function and the field harmonics in the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole magnet. The field harmonics are calculated with a 10 mm reference radius. The numbering of the wedges is counter-clockwise from the midplane. The pole width is measured from the vertical axis.

(coil radius = 25 mm, reference radius = 10 mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\delta TF$</th>
<th>$\delta b_2$</th>
<th>$\delta b_4$</th>
<th>$\delta b_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>changed</td>
<td>$10^{-4} \frac{T}{kA}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge No. 1</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge No. 2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge No. 3</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge No. 4</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole Width (inner)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole Width (outer)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wedge No. 4 is in outer layer.
Table 4.3.2: The computed change in the transfer function and field harmonics produced by a $+25\mu m$ ($0.001''$) change in the wedge thickness, pole width or midplane gap in the RHIC 80 mm aperture arc dipoles. The field harmonics are calculated with a 25 mm reference radius. The numbering of the wedges starts at the midplane. The pole width and midplane gap are measured from the vertical and horizontal axis, respectively.

(coil radius = 40 mm, reference radius = 25 mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\delta TF$</th>
<th>$\delta b_2$</th>
<th>$\delta b_4$</th>
<th>$\delta b_6$</th>
<th>$\delta b_8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>changed</td>
<td>$10^{-4} \frac{T}{kA}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge 1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge 2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge 3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole Width</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midplane Gap</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that the magnitude of change in harmonics due to a 25 $\mu m$ change, is much larger in RHIC dipole than in SSC dipoles.

WHY?
RHIC Insertion Dipole D0 with single layer coil
Coil inner radius = 100 mm,
Harmonic reference radius = 65 mm

"D0 MAGNET" : Rough Cross section Optimization Spread sheet

To iterate cross section for b2 & b4 with midplane and pole shims and fixed wedge changes, go to line b65:b72

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Parameters of the Iterated Design</th>
<th>b2</th>
<th>b4</th>
<th>b6</th>
<th>b8</th>
<th>b10</th>
<th>b12</th>
<th>b14</th>
<th>b16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coil Prestress</td>
<td>1 mil from the target.</td>
<td>Expected Harmonic</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil Size</th>
<th>db2</th>
<th>db4</th>
<th>db6</th>
<th>db8</th>
<th>db10</th>
<th>db12</th>
<th>db14</th>
<th>db16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Increase</td>
<td>5 in Coil size</td>
<td>Multiply Change(mil)</td>
<td>Target b2n</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Increase</td>
<td>6 mil in compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Midplane(mil) | 1 | Fixed Pole | 0.25 | 4 | -0.00333 | -5.79 | -1.85 | -0.48 | -0.16 | -0.06 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| PoleShim(mil) | 1 | Decrease Pole | 0.25 | 4 | 0.006 | 3.98 | -0.53 | 0.09 | -0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Wedge1(mil) | 4 | Fixed Pole | 1 | 4 | -0.0012 | -1.32 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.02 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Wedge2(mil) | 0 | Fixed Pole | 0 | 4 | 0.00119 | 3.05 | 1.28 | -0.06 | -0.16 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Wedge3(mil) | 0 | Fixed Pole | 0 | 4 | 0.00314 | 4.80 | 0.30 | -0.37 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wedge4(mil) | 0 | Fixed Pole | 0 | 4 | 0.00508 | 4.89 | -0.65 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Midplane+4mil | 0 | Increase Pole | 0 | 4 | -0.0097 | -9.76 | -1.31 | -0.57 | -0.11 | -0.07 | -0.02 | -0.02 | 0.00 |
| Wedge1+4mil | 0 | Increase Pole | 0 | 4 | -0.00758 | -5.28 | 1.06 | 0.389 | 0.187 | 0.006 | -0.006 | -0.013 | 0 |
| Wedge2+4mil | 0 | Increase Pole | 0 | 4 | -0.0052 | -0.91 | 1.81 | -0.155 | -0.117 | -0.018 | 0.025 | -0.002 | 0 |
| Wedge3+4mil | 0 | Increase Pole | 0 | 4 | -0.00323 | 0.83 | 0.82 | -0.462 | 0.067 | 0.023 | -0.004 | -0.006 | 0.004 |
| Wedge4+4mil | 0 | Increase Pole | 0 | 4 | -0.0013 | 4.07 | -1.37 | 0.439 | -0.127 | 0.174 | -0.184 | -0.057 | 0 |
Design Philosophy:

- Start out with a design that allows significant adjustability for field harmonics and mechanical parameters (cable thickness, wedges, etc.).

- A flexible design is generally economical, efficient and produces magnets with better performance. I think it’s a prudent approach.

Geometric: Start with a larger than required shim and midplane cap. Then adjust it, as required without changing the cross-section of the cured coil. One can also adjust the layers of wedge/cable insulation, if needed. These three parameters can adjust the first two allowed harmonics and pre-stress or cable insulation. This approach was used extensively in various RHIC magnets.

Saturation: Start out with holes in the yoke and fill them with magnetic iron rods later. Or, punch holes in yoke laminations later.
**Change in Midplane Gap to Adjust Harmonics**
(can be easily done by changing the size of the ground-plane insulation cap)

**Table 4.4.1:** The computed and measured change in field harmonics at 25 mm reference radius due to a change in the coil midplane gap. The midplane gap was increased from 0.114 mm to 0.16 mm in the rebuilt 80 mm aperture RHIC model dipole magnet DRS009. In the production magnets, the midplane gap was changed back to 0.114 mm from 0.16 mm to adjust the $b_1$ harmonic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta b_2$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_4$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_6$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computed</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.5.1:** The measured and computed change in field harmonics caused by an asymmetric increase in the coil-to-midplane gap in the prototype 130 mm aperture RHIC interaction quadrupole QRI002. The gap was increased by 0.1 mm in the horizontal plane only. The harmonics are given at a reference radius of 40 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta b_3$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_5$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_7$</th>
<th>$\Delta b_9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computed</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geometric Field Errors on the X-axis of DRZ101 Body

First magnet and first attempt in RHIC 100 mm aperture insertion dipole
A number of things were done in the test assembly to get pre-stress & harmonics right

Harmonics at 2 kA (mostly geometric).
Measured in 0.23 m long straight section.

Reference radius = 31 mm

|  | b1 | a2 | b2 | a3 | b3 | a4 | b4 | a5 | b5 | a6 | b6 | a7 | b7 | a8 | b8 | a9 | b9 | a10 | b10 | a11 | b11 | a12 | b12 | a13 | b13 | a14 | b14 | a15 | b15 |
| dBy/Bo | -0.39 | -1.06 | -0.39 | -0.19 | -0.07 | 0.21 | 0.78 | 0.05 | -0.05 | -0.20 | 0.13 | 0.02 | -0.03 | -0.16 | 0.14 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -0.04 | -0.06 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.16 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.03 | -0.10 | 0.02 |

Note: Field errors are within $10^{-4}$ at 60% of coil radius and $\sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ at 80% radius.

Later magnets had adjustments for integral field and saturation control.

The coil cross-section never changed.

All harmonics are within or close to one sigma of RHIC arc dipoles.
**Average Field errors ~10^{-4} up to 80% of the coil radius**

**Geometric Field Errors on the X-axis of RHIC DRZ magnets (108-125)**

Coil X-section was not changed between 1st prototype and final production magnet.

A Flexible & Experimental Design Approach Allowed Right Pre-stress & Right Harmonics.

Estimated Integral Mean in Final Set

(Warm-cold correlation used in estimating)

Harmonics at 3kA (mostly geometric)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference radius is 31 mm (Coil 50 mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Raw Data Provided by Animesh Jain at BNL*

*Field errors are 10^{-4} to 80% of the aperture at midplane.*

(Extrapolation used in going from 34 mm to 40 mm; reliability decreases)

**Note:**

80% of coil radius, not just 2/3.

At 80%, you are close to inner radius of beam tube. This means that almost entire physical aperture has become a good field aperture.
Octupole in Quadrupoles When Quad Assembled Like Dipoles

Measured $b_3$ (octupole) ≠ 0

Load → Yoke → Load for Pre-compression

Also seen in 8c mm Arc Quad (7 unit in both magnets)
A Simple Method For Removing Octupole From Quad

**Basic Problem**

(a) Trying to assemble quad like dipole

or

(b) Starting yoke i.d. is circular
   (which becomes non-circular after assembly)

**Fix**

1. Start out of round yoke;
   so that when assembled, it is round
   \[ \text{Too late for that (and too expensive to change)} \]

2. Create deliberate asymmetry in coil
to compensate for that
\[ \text{Easy, Tested and Worked} \]

\[ \text{AND/OR - SOME MAGNET TURNING Shim) -0.1 mm} \]
Minimization of Non-allowed Harmonics

Non-allowed harmonics are those that are not allowed by basic symmetry.

In quadrupoles, the allowed harmonics are b₁, b₅, b₉, b₁₃, etc.

- For cost reasons, RHIC quadrupoles are assembled like dipole (i.e. with 2-fold symmetry rather than 4-fold).
- Also note that pole notch is only at two places instead of four, as required by quad symmetry.

Both of above generate octupole (b₃) and other higher order harmonics (b₇, b₁₃, …) that are not allowed in an ideal quad. The magnitude of octupole generated by the first source is several units, whereas the magnitude generated by the second source is a few tenth.

A deliberately designed asymmetry in the coil midplane gaps (gap between coils at the vertical plane vs. gap at the horizontal plane) cancels the octupole from the above sources.
Minimization of field harmonics is the primary and the most time consuming task of optimizing a coil geometry.

However, the overall coil design requires calculations and optimization of several other quantities.

They will be explained in the next few slides.

The peak field is the maximum field on the superconducting coil, whereas the field enhancement ratio is the peak field on the coil as compared to the field at the magnet center at the same current.

One major concern is the minimization of this enhancement ratio.

Magnet performance is limited by the maximum field on the superconductor and the useful field is determined by the field at the magnet center for that peak field on the coil at a given current.
**Computed Peak Fields in SSC Dipoles**

Table 6.2.6: Peak fields in the SSC 50 mm dipole as computed using code MDP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I (kA)</th>
<th>$B_o$ (tesla)</th>
<th>$B_{pk}$ ($T$)</th>
<th>$B_{pk}/B_o$</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$B_{pk}$ ($T$)</th>
<th>$B_{pk}/B_o$</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>6.9058</td>
<td>7.2374</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6.0016</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.2100</td>
<td>7.5595</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6.2660</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Computed Performance of SSC Dipole**

**Table 6.2.7:** Expected quench performance of the SSC 50 mm dipole with 5% cable degradation \((J_c = 2612.5 A/mm^2)\) and at 4.35 K temperature. \(S_{\text{quench}}\) is the computed current density in the copper at quench and \(S_{6.7T}\) at the design field of 6.7 Tesla.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Cu/Sc Ratio</th>
<th>(B_{ss}) tesla</th>
<th>(I_c) A</th>
<th>(B_{\text{margin}}) % over 6.7T</th>
<th>(T_{\text{margin}}) kelvin</th>
<th>(S_{\text{quench}}) A/cm(^2)</th>
<th>(S_{6.7T}) A/cm(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.149</td>
<td>7126</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.273</td>
<td>7273</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.399</td>
<td>7411</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.268</td>
<td>7267</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.445</td>
<td>7470</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the magnet will quench at the design central field \((B_{\text{design}}=6.7 \text{ tesla})\). The field margin is defined as follows

\[
B_{\text{margin}} (\%) = \left( \frac{B_{ss} - B_{\text{design}}}{B_{\text{design}}} \right) \times 100
\]
Table 6.2.8: The effect of a 0.05 mm increase in the given parameter on the transfer function and the field harmonics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>TF (T/kA)</th>
<th>$b_2$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$b_4$ (10^{-4})</th>
<th>$b_6$ (10^{-4})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 2</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 3</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 4</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 5</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of Block No. 6</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS Blocks</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of Wedge No. 1</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of Wedge No. 2</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of Wedge No. 3</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of Wedge No. 4</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS Wedges</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable thickness inner</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable thickness outer</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS Cable thickness</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole angle inner</td>
<td>-4.01</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole angle outer</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS Pole angles</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The stored energy and the inductance are related through the following formula:

\[ \text{Stored Energy} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Inductance} \times (\text{Current})^2. \]

The inductance decreases at high field as the iron yoke saturates.

The results of POISSON computations for the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole are given at 6.5 kA in Table 6.2.9 for the stored energy per unit length and the inductance per unit length. The total stored energy and the inductance for a 15 m long dipole are also given.

**Table 6.2.9:** Stored Energy and Inductance at 6.5 kA as computed with the code POISSON for the SSC 50 mm aperture dipole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stored Energy per unit length, kJ/m</th>
<th>105.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stored Energy for 15 m long Dipole, kJ</td>
<td>1575.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance per unit length, mH/m</td>
<td>4.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance for 15 m long Dipole, mH</td>
<td>74.585</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.2.5: The magnitude of the components of the Lorentz force on the individual turns in a SSC 50 mm prototype magnet. The radial component of the force \( F_r \) pushes the coil outward and the azimuthal component \( F_\theta \) compresses the coil towards the midplane (horizontal plane). There are 19 turns in the inner layer and 26 turns in the outer layer of each quadrant. **Computed Lorentz forces at the design field of 6.6 T (at ~6.6 kA) in SSC dipole.**

- **Radial (inner)**
- **Azimuthal (inner)**
- **Radial (outer)**
- **Azimuthal (outer)**

**Low \( F_r \) in outer block. WHY?**
The table summarizes the forces on the blocks in the example SSC dipole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLOCK</th>
<th>( F_x ), lb./in</th>
<th>( F_y ), lb./in</th>
<th>( F_x ), N/m</th>
<th>( F_y ), N/m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1005.46</td>
<td>-108.98</td>
<td>1.76E+05</td>
<td>-1.91E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1312.68</td>
<td>-237.56</td>
<td>2.30E+05</td>
<td>-4.16E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>612.52</td>
<td>-151.97</td>
<td>1.07E+05</td>
<td>-2.66E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>650.99</td>
<td>-116.62</td>
<td>1.14E+05</td>
<td>-2.04E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>231.73</td>
<td>-384.60</td>
<td>4.06E+04</td>
<td>-6.74E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1208.86</td>
<td>-1371.22</td>
<td>2.12E+05</td>
<td>-2.40E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5022.24</td>
<td>-2370.96</td>
<td>8.80E+05</td>
<td>-4.15E+05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goals of End Design

Magnetic Design
- Optimize for low integrated harmonics
- Guide design towards lower peak field without large increase in length
- Compute cross talk and fringe fields

Mechanical Layout
- Minimize strain and tilt of the cable in the end. Minimize large changes
- Cable and entire ends should be well supported (constrained)

In low field magnets, magnetic design drives the end design, whereas, in high field (high force) magnets, the mechanical design must!
Ends of Cosine Theta Cable Magnets
End Harmonic Optimization (conceptual)

Ends without spacer
(large harmonics and peak field)

Ends with spacer
(integrated harmonics & peak field reduced)

- End spacers increase the straight section length of some turns (turns at midplane go further out)
- Now consider the integral field generated by each turn. The harmonic component generated by a turn will depend on the angular location of it. The integral strength will depend on the length.
- A proper choice of end spacers can make integral end-harmonics small. However, note that the local values are large.
- Spacer also reduce the maximum value of field on the conductor (peak field) in the end.
Block Structure

Straight section (6 blocks, 70 turns):
- 30 20 10 4 3 3 (counting from midplane)
- 3 3 4 10 20 30 (counting from pole)

End section (8 blocks, 70 turns):
- 10 5 8 4 13 4 6 20
  (counting from pole)

Straight section => pole
- 3,3,4 => 10
- 4,10,20 => 5, 8, 4, 13
- 30 => 4, 6, 20

Equal spacing in “Red Color” blocks is used as harmonic optimization parameters
Tilt of Turns in Various End Blocks

Small Tilt with monotonic change

Block with Midplane Turns

Block with Pole Turns
AKF indicates the deviation from constant perimeter (hence strain on the cable)

Large Deviation from 1.0 is bad

Small deviation with monotonic change

Block with Pole turns

Block with Midplane turns
Coil End: Design A
Peak Field Minimization

A high peak field reduces the magnet quench performance.

- A large effort must be undertaken in 2-d optimization.

A series of computer programs have been written to carry out the above optimization in an exhaustive and systematic manner.

Usually about a thousand cases are examined to:

- Minimize harmonics
- Find a solution with lower peak field
- Good mechanical turn configuration (wedges, tilt angle, etc.)
Peak Field Location (pole turn)
Peak Field in the End

An example of an End Design
Peak Field in the End
Peak Field in the End
How does it compare to Body?

In this example, the peak value is larger in the end than in the body of the magnet.

In a typical end design, one removes iron (or increases yoke i.d.) to reduce field in the end.
In cosine theta magnets, the conductors in the Ends are more strained and the mechanical design is generally less robust.

Therefore, one would like the peak field in the Ends to be less than that in the body of the magnet, to give conductor a larger margin.
Example (optimized):

Re-adjusted end spacer brings field in the ends down to S.S. level.
Peak Field
Straight Section vs. Ends

Field @ midplane ~2.45 T
Peak Field in S.S. ~3 T @ pole block
Peak Field in Ends in original design ~3.61 T
=> with 2 end spacers between pole blocks ~ 3.36 T
=> Peak field in ends with 3 re-adjusted spacers ~3 T
Block Structure

Straight section (6 blocks, 70 turns):
  30 20 10 4 3 3 (counting from midplane)
  3 3 4 10 20 30 (counting from pole)

End section (8 blocks, 70 turns):
  10 5 8 4 13 4 6 20
    (counting from pole)

Straight section => pole
  3,3,4  => 10
  4,10,20  => 5, 8, 4, 13
  30  => 4, 6, 20

Must avoid large Ultum spacers
(subdivide, if necessary)

Equal spacing in “Red Color” blocks is used as harmonic optimization parameters
End Harmonic Optimization: SMINSQ

Parameters optimized:
End spacers in block #2 (with 5 turns) and end spacer in block #7 (with 4 turns).
All spacers with in a block have the same size.

Changing the size of two group of end spacers was adequate to get all harmonics small.

Computed values:
- $B_5 < 1$ unit-meter;
- $B_9$ and $B_{13} < 0.1$ unit-m

Effective Magnetic Length $\sim 15.6$ cm
Mechanical Length $\sim 28$ cm + End Saddle

Block configuration:
(8 blocks, 70 turns):
10, 5, 8, 4, 13, 4, 6, 20
(counting from pole)
Field through the Coil Ends

Mechanical length of this end (including end saddle) \(\sim 34\) cm : \(\sim 2\) coil diameters

Contribution to magnetic length \(\sim 16\) cm
Field Harmonics through the End

**b₅**: dodecapole

End spacers are optimized to produce low integral harmonics.
Field Harmonics through the End: $b_9$

End spacers are optimized to produce low integral harmonics.
Field Harmonics through the End: $b_{13}$

End spacers are optimized to produce low integral harmonics.
SUMMARY

We are now expert (almost!) in:

2d coil design
   Requires good mechanical, magnetic and flexible design.

3d coil design
   Requires good mechanical and magnetic design.

We have studied 2-d and 3-d design optimization in cosine “n” theta (or cylindrical coil) geometry.
Optimization of alternate magnet designs with racetrack coils will be discussed in a separate lecture.